# The *ab*-plane optical conductivity of high- $T_c$ superconductors

D.B. Tanner,<sup>1</sup> M.A. Quijada,<sup>1</sup> D.N. Basov,<sup>2</sup> T. Timusk,<sup>2</sup> R.J. Kelley,<sup>3</sup> M. Onellion,<sup>3</sup> B. Dabrowski,<sup>4</sup> J.P Rice,<sup>5</sup> and D.M. Ginsberg<sup>5</sup>

Received 5 January 1995

There is anisotropy in the *ab*-plane optical properties of the high-temperature superconductors, both in the normal state and in the superconducting state. In both states, two components appear in the optical conductivity: a free carrier part and a "midin-frared" component. Below  $T_c$ , the free carriers form the superconducting condensate. In YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7- $\delta$ </sub>, the anisotropy of the penetration depth shows that the chains contribute strongly to this superfluid. In Bi<sub>2</sub>Sr<sub>2</sub>CaCu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, where chains are absent, there is still *ab* plane anisotropy. Below  $T_c$  a finite absorption parallel *b* remains at frequencies as small as 20 meV. This anisotropy could be due to anisotropy either of the superconducting gap or the midinfrared component.

#### KEY WORDS: Superconductors, optical properties, High- $T_c$

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Despite intense effort over the past eight years, open questions remain about the infrared response of high- $T_c$  superconductors, particularly in the superconducting state.[1,2] There is no convincing evidence of superconducting gap absorption in most spectra. Instead, the data suggest two components to the optical conductivity: a free carrier part and a "midinfrared" component. Below  $T_c$ , the free carriers form the superconducting condensate, with most of the spectral weight associated with the free carriers residing in the delta function response of the superfluid and with the midinfrared component masking any excitations of the condensate. The weight of the delta function can be measured through its contribution to the superconducting screening, either as a  $-\omega_{ps}^2/\omega^2$  contribution to the dielectric function[3] or, equivalently, in terms of a generalized penetration depth.[4]

In this paper, we compare the *ab*-plane anisotropy in the far-infrared for three materials:  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$ ,  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ , and  $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$ . These materials have similar  $T_c$ 's, resistivities, and square-planar CuO<sub>2</sub> bilayers. The principal difference amongst them is the presence in the Y-based materials of CuO chains, giving them rather more structural anisotropy. In  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$  chains are absent, but there is still *ab* plane anisotropy in the far-infrared spectra and in the dc transport properties.[5] Previous infrared measurements of *ab*-plane anisotropy have been reported for  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}[4,6-10]$  and  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8.[11-14]$ 

Infrared spectroscopy is sensitive only to certain types of anisotropy, both in normal and superconducting states. To observe a difference in infrared reflectance or conductivity, quantities like  $|\Delta|^2$ , the gap, must have only a twofold axis of symmetry in the *ab* plane (*i.e.*, orthorhombic symmetry). Then, the *a* and *b* components of the dielectric tensor will differ. If the *ab* plane has a fourfold axis, then the optical properties will be isotropic. Thus, although the optical conductivity is affected by the anisotropic order parameter of an unconventional superconductor,[15] in not every case does the anisotropy of the order parameter lead to an anisotropic *ab*-plane opti-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Dept. Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Dept. Physics and Astronomy, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4M1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Dept. Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Physics Dept., Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL 60115

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Dept. Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801

cal conductivity. As a pertinent example, the optical conductivity for pure  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  pairing is isotropic in the *ab* plane (although the spectrum *is* different from the case of *s*-wave pairing). In contrast, a *p*-wave component, a  $d_{xz}$  pairing, or a combination of *s*- and *d*- symmetries can give anisotropic *ab*-plane optical conductivities.

## 2. EXPERIMENTAL

The crystal growth procedures have been described previously.[16-18] We measured the polarized reflectance of single-domain single crystals using a Bruker IFS 113v interferometric spectrometer over 50–5000  $\rm cm^{-1}$  (0.006–0.6 eV) and using Perkin-Elmer 16U spectrometer over 1000-30000  $cm^{-1}$  (0.12–3.7 eV).[14] The light was polarized using wire-grid polarizers in the far- and mid-infrared regions and dichroic polarizers in the near-infrarednear-ultraviolet regions. For our measurements we used the natural crystal surface unmodified by polishing or any other treatment. The reflectance was measured relative to an Al reference mirror and corrected for the known reflectance of Al. After measurements, the surface of our crystal was coated with Al and the reflectance of the coated sample measured, in order to determine accurately the sample area and to estimate the diffuse scattering due to any imperfections in the surface. A continuous-flow cryostat was used to cool the sample to a base temperature of 12 K.

The accuracy in absolute reflectance, estimated from reproducibility found in measurements of three different samples, is  $\pm 1\%$ . However, the accuracy of the anisotropy of the reflectance (*i.e.*, the difference between *a* and *b* results on the same sample at the same temperature) is better than  $\pm 0.25\%$ .

The reflectance data were analyzed by Kramers-Kronig techniques[19] to estimate the phase shift on reflectance. From the reflectance and phase shift, any of the optical functions (refractive index, dielectric function, conductivity, penetration depth, *etc.*) may be calculated.

## 3. RESULTS

Both  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$  and  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$  display *ab*-plane anisotropy in their infrared spectra. The anisotropy in YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7- $\delta$ </sub> is expected, on account of the structural anisotropy from the chains. That  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$  is anisotropic is less expected;



Fig. 1. Optical conductivity of  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$ at three temperatures. The left panel shows the results for the *a* axis, the right for the *b* axis.

the only structural anisotropy is the weak superlattice along b, which primarily affects the Bi-O layers.[20-22] In fact, because the Cu-O bond is rotated 45° with respect to the Bi-O bond in  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$ , the superlattice does not affect the Cu-O distance at all. In the following, we will discuss  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$  first, then  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ .

Figure 1 shows the optical conductivity of  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$  at three temperatures. In the normal state, there is a free-carrier component dominating at low frequencies, with a broad, nearly temperature independent midinfrared contribution at higher frequencies. Below  $T_c$ , a substantial amount of spectral weight has been removed from  $\sigma_1(\omega)$  and appears in the zero-frequency delta function of the superconductor. There is anisotropy in the *ab*-plane conductivity: about 10% in the normal state and nearly a factor of two in the low-frequency far-infrared conductivity below  $T_c$ .

Figure 2 shows the optical conductivity of  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$  at three temperatures. In the normal state, there is a free-carrier component dominating at low frequencies, with a broad, nearly temperature independent midinfrared contribution at higher frequencies. Below  $T_c$ , a substantial amount of spectral weight has been removed from  $\sigma_1(\omega)$  and appears in the delta function. There is anisotropy in the *ab*-plane conductivity: about a factor of 2 in the normal state and nearly a factor of four in the low-frequency far-infrared conductivity below  $T_c$ .

results for the a axis, the right for the b axis.

Figure 3 shows a generalized penetration depth for three materials at the lowest temperatures. This quantity is  $\lambda_L(\omega) = c/\omega_{ps} = c/\sqrt{4\pi\omega\sigma_2(\omega)}$ , where  $\sigma_2$  is the imaginary part of the optical conductivity, c is lightspeed, and  $\omega_{ps}$  is the superfluid "plasma frequency." The latter quantity is related to the superfluid density,  $n_s$  through  $\omega_{ps} = \sqrt{4\pi n_s e^2/m^*}$ . Results are shown for  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$ ,  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ , and  $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$ . To the extent that these curves are flat, the substance obeys London electrodynamics, with  $\sigma(\omega) = \omega_{ps}^2 \delta(\omega)/8 + i\omega_{ps}^2/4\pi\omega$ . The difference between the a and b directions is striking, being about 10% for  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$ , 35% for  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$ , and more than a factor of two for  $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$ .

## 4. DISCUSSION

 $(\Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1})$ 

5

That there is anisotropy in the optical properties of these materials is consistent with their orthorhombic crystal structure. The anisotropy in the superconducting state is however a little surprising. Generally one tends to view these systems as having squareplanar, quasi-two-dimensional, CuO<sub>2</sub> planes, with a fourfold axis of symmetry about the copper site, and with the other structural elements less important for the superconducting state. This should be particularly true for  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$ , where the only states at the Fermi level are from the  $CuO_2$  sites. Then, the differences between the a and the b directions in the superconducting state shown in Fig. 1 can arise in one of two ways. If there is only one component to the infrared conductivity, as in a marginal Fermi liquid, [23] nested Fermi liquid, [24] or other models, [25]

then the anisotropy reflects a two-fold symmetry to the superconducting gap absorption. (There is no other low-lying absorption band in these pictures.) As mentioned above, this anisotropy would be inconsistent with a purely  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  gap symmetry. The second possibility is that there is a second component to the optical conductivity, so that the anisotropy could be attributed to this second component. (This "midinfrared" absorption must exist in order to assign the

observed anisotropy to it.)

field directions in the a and b directions

for three high-temperature superconductors.

Data are at 20 K for Bi2Sr2CaCu2O8 and

 $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$  and at 10 K for  $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$ .

The results in Fig. 3 reveal that the superconducting penetration depth in  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$  is anisotropic, with the b direction having the larger  $\lambda_L$  and therefore the smaller superfluid density. The free carrier (Drude) density is also anisotropic (by a slightly smaller amount). In  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$  and  $YBa_2Cu_4O_8$ , the penetration depth is smaller in the chain direction than it is normal to the chains; therefore, a large portion of the free carrier density that can be attributed to the chains in the normal state condenses below  $T_c$ . These results indicate that superconductivity, at least in these compounds, is not





confined to the planes but extends to the chains as well.

Finally, taken together, these results, ab-plane anisotropy in the chain-free Bi<sub>2</sub>Sr<sub>2</sub>CaCu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and larger superfluid density in the b direction in YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7- $\delta$ </sub> and YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, suggest that the common practice of separating ab-plane response into parallel chain and plane contributions in the chaincontaining materials may not be justified.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Work supported at the University of Florida by NSF Grant No. DMR-9403894, at McMaster by the Canadian Institute for Advanced research (CIAR) and by the National Science and Engineering Research Council (NSERC), at Wisconsin by NSF grant DMR-8911332, at the University of Illinois and at Northern Illinois University by NSF Grant DMR 91-20000 through the Science and Technology Center for Superconductivity.

### REFERENCES

- T. Timusk and D.B. Tanner in *Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors I*, edited by D.M. Ginsberg (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989) p. 339.
- D.B. Tanner and T. Timusk in *Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors III*, edited by D.M. Ginsberg (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992) p. 363.
- K. Kamarás, S.L. Herr, C.D. Porter, N. Tache, D.B. Tanner, S. Etemad, T. Venkatesan, E. Chase A. Inam, X.D. Wu, M.S. Hegde, and B. Dutta, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 64, 84 (1990).
- D.N. Basov, R. Liang, D.A. Bonn, W.N.Hardy, B. Dabrowski, M. Quijada, D.B. Tanner, J.P Rice, D.M. Ginsberg, and T. Timusk, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, in press.
- 5. M.A. Quijada, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, 1994, and to be published.
- B. Koch, H.P. Geserich, and T. Wolf, Solid State Commun. 71, 495 (1989).
- Z. Schlesinger, R.T. Collins, F. Holtzberg, C. Feild, S.H. Blanton, U. Welp, G.W. Crabtree, Y. Fang, and J.Z. Liu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 65, 801 (1990).

- T. Pham, M.W. Lee, H.D. Drew, U. Welp, and Y. Fang Phys. Rev. B 44, 5377 (1991).
- L.D. Rotter, Z. Schlesinger, R.T. Collins, F. Holtzberg, and C. Feild, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 67, 2741 (1991).
- S.L. Cooper, A.L. Kotz, M.V. Klein, W.C. Lee, J. Giapintzakis, and D.M. Ginsberg, *Phys. Rev. B* 45, 2549 (1992).
- M.K. Kelly, P. Barboux, J.M. Tarascon, D.E. Apnes, P.A. Morris, and W.A. Bonner, *Physica C* 162-164, 1123 (1989).
- D.B. Romero, G.L. Carr, D.B. Tanner, L. Forro, D. Mandrus, L. Mihaly, and G.P. Williams, *Phys. Rev. B* 44, 2818 (1991).
- A. Zibold, M. Durrler, A. Gaymann, H.P. Geserich, N. Nucker, V.M. Burlakov, and P. Müller, *Physica C* 193, 171 (1992).
- M.A. Quijada, D.B. Tanner, R.J. Kelley and M. Onellion, Z. Phys. B, in press.
- P.J. Hirschfeld, W.O. Puttika, and P. Wölfle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 69, 1447 (1992).
- P.D. Han and D.A. Payne, Journal of Crystal Growth 104, 201 (1990).
- T.A. Friedmann, M.W. Rabin, J. Giapintzakis, J.P. Rice, and D.M. Ginsberg, *Phys. Rev. B* 42, 6217 (1990).
- B. Dabrowski, K. Zhang, J.J. Pluth, J.L. Wagner and D.G. Hinks, *Physica C* 202, 271 (1992).
- Frederick Wooten, Optical Properties of Solids (Academic Press, New York, 1972).
- T.M. Shaw, S.A. Shivashsnkar, S.J. La Placa, J.J. Cuomo, T.R. McGuire, R.A. Roy, K.H. Kelleher, and D.S. Yee, *Phys. Rev. B* 37, 9856 (1988).
- S.A. Sunshine, T. Siegrist, L.F. Schneemeyer, D.W. Murphy, R.J. Cava, B. Batlogg, R.B. van Dover, R.M. Fleming, S.H. Glarum, S. Nakahara, R. Farrow, J.J. Krajewski, S.M. Zahurak, J.V. Waszczak, J.H. Marshall, P. Marsh, L.W. Rupp Jr., and W.F. Peck *Phys. Rev. B* 38, 893 (1988).
- Y. LePage, W.R. McKinnon, J.-M. Tarascon, and P. Barboux, *Phys. Rev. B* 40, 6810 (1989).
- C.M. Varma, P.B. Littlewood, S. Schmitt-Rink, E. Abrahams, and A.E. Ruckenstein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 63, 1996 (1989).
- A. Virosztek and J. Ruvalds, *Phys. Rev. B* 42, 4064 (1990).
- V.J. Emery, S.A. Kivelson, and H.Q. Lin, *Phys. Rev.* Lett. 64, 475 (1990).