## Brillouin-Wigner Perturbation Theory

Brillouin-Wigner (BW) perturbation theory is less widely used than the Rayleigh-Schrödinger (RS) version. At first order in the perturbation, the two theories are equivalent. However, BW perturbation theory extends more easily to higher orders, and avoids the need for separate treatment of non-degenerate and degenerate levels.

- Assume that we know the stationary states of the unperturbed Hamiltonian $H_{0}$, namely the kets $|n\rangle$ satisfying $H_{0}|n\rangle=\varepsilon_{n}|n\rangle$. For each unperturbed state, we can define a projection operator

$$
Q_{n}=I-|n\rangle\langle n|=\sum_{m \neq n}|m\rangle\langle m|
$$

Using the spectral representation $H_{0}=\sum_{n} \varepsilon_{n}|n\rangle\langle n|$, one can see that $\left[H_{0}, Q_{n}\right]=0$.

- Let us write the perturbed eigenproblem in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{n}-H_{0}\right)\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle=H_{1}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Acting with $Q_{n}$ from the left, and taking advantage of $\left[H_{0}, Q_{n}\right]=0$,

$$
Q_{n}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle=R_{n} H_{1}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle
$$

where

$$
R_{n}=\left(E_{n}-H_{0}\right)^{-1} Q_{n}=Q_{n}\left(E_{n}-H_{0}\right)^{-1}
$$

which has a spectral representation

$$
R_{n}=\sum_{m \neq n} \frac{|m\rangle\langle m|}{E_{n}-\varepsilon_{m}}
$$

Note that $R_{n}$ is not a projection operator because $R_{n}^{2} \neq R_{n}$.

- Adopting the usual convention $\left\langle n \mid \psi_{n}\right\rangle=1$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle=|n\rangle+Q_{n}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle=|n\rangle+R_{n} H_{1}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that $H_{1}$ is small, this equation can be solved iteratively in powers of $H_{1}$. The wave function correct through order $\left.j, \psi_{n}^{(j)}\right\rangle$, can be obtained from Eq. (2) by inserting $\left|\psi_{n}^{(j-1)}\right\rangle$ on the right-hand side:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi_{n}^{(0)}\right\rangle & =|n\rangle, \\
\left|\psi_{n}^{(1)}\right\rangle & =|n\rangle+R_{n} H_{1}|n\rangle, \\
\left|\psi_{n}^{(2)}\right\rangle & =|n\rangle+R_{n} H_{1}|n\rangle+\left(R_{n} H_{1}\right)^{2}|n\rangle, \\
& \text { etc }
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(R_{n} H_{1}\right)^{j}|n\rangle \equiv\left(1-R_{n} H_{1}\right)^{-1}|n\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first equality serves to define $\left(1-R_{n} H_{1}\right)^{-1}$.
Substituting the spectral representation of $R_{n}$ into Eq. (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle= & |n\rangle+\sum_{m \neq n}|m\rangle \frac{\langle m| H_{1}|n\rangle}{E_{n}-\varepsilon_{m}}+\sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{l \neq n}|m\rangle \frac{\langle m| H_{1}|l\rangle\langle l| H_{1}|n\rangle}{\left(E_{n}-\varepsilon_{m}\right)\left(E_{n}-\varepsilon_{l}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{l \neq n} \sum_{k \neq n}|m\rangle \frac{\langle m| H_{1}|l\rangle\langle l| H_{1}|k\rangle\langle k| H_{1}|n\rangle}{\left(E_{n}-\varepsilon_{m}\right)\left(E_{n}-\varepsilon_{l}\right)\left(E_{n}-\varepsilon_{k}\right)}+\ldots \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

- We can obtain $E_{n}$ by applying $\langle n|$ to Eq. (1):

$$
\left(E_{n}-\varepsilon_{n}\right)\left\langle n \mid \psi_{n}\right\rangle=\langle n| H_{1}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle .
$$

Since $\left\langle n \mid \psi_{n}\right\rangle=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=\varepsilon_{n}+\langle n| H_{1}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, making use of Eq. (4),

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n}= & \varepsilon_{n}+\langle n| H_{1}|n\rangle+\sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle n| H_{1}|m\rangle\langle m| H_{1}|n\rangle}{E_{n}-\varepsilon_{m}} \\
& +\sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{l \neq n} \frac{\langle n| H_{1}|m\rangle\langle m| H_{1}|l\rangle\langle l| H_{1}|n\rangle}{\left(E_{n}-\varepsilon_{m}\right)\left(E_{n}-\varepsilon_{l}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{l \neq n} \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{\langle n| H_{1}|m\rangle\langle m| H_{1}|l\rangle\langle l| H_{1}|k\rangle\langle k| H_{1}|n\rangle}{\left(E_{n}-\varepsilon_{m}\right)\left(E_{n}-\varepsilon_{l}\right)\left(E_{n}-\varepsilon_{k}\right)}+\ldots \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

- The BW formulation has the advantages that the expressions given in Eqs (4) and (6) are much simpler than those produced by RS perturbation theory, and there is no need to devise a special treatment of degeneracies $\varepsilon_{m}=\varepsilon_{n}$.
A disadvantage of the BW approach is that the perturbed energy appears on the righthand side of Eq. (6). This is therefore really a polynomial equation for $E_{n}$, which can be nontrivial to solve.

One has to weigh up the pros and cons of the BW and RS methods in deciding which one to use for a particular problem.

