K. Ingersent

Second-Order Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory

Let us consider the extension of time-dependent perturbation theory to second order in the interaction $H_1(t)$. The starting point is the set of differential equations

$$i\hbar \frac{da_n^{(j+1)}(t)}{dt} = \sum_m \langle n | H_1(t) | m \rangle e^{i\omega_{nm}t} a_m^{(j)}(t), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(1)

If we assume that the system starts at time $t = t_0$ in an unperturbed stationary state $|i\rangle$, then for any $t \ge t_0$,

$$a_n^{(0)}(t) = \delta_{n,i}, \tag{2}$$

$$a_{n}^{(1)}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} dt' \langle n | H_{1}(t') | i \rangle e^{i\omega_{ni}t'}, \qquad (3)$$

$$a_n^{(2)}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_m \int_{t_0}^t dt' \langle n | H_1(t') | m \rangle e^{i\omega_{nm}t'} a_m^{(1)}(t').$$
(4)

We will study the behavior of $a_n^{(2)}(t)$ for three different time dependences of $H_1(t)$. Sudden perturbation. Suppose that the perturbation turns on suddenly at time $t = t_0 = 0$, and is constant thereafter:

$$H_1(t) = \tilde{H}\theta(t),\tag{5}$$

where \tilde{H} contains no time dependence. One can prove by integrating the Schrödinger equation that, unless H(t) contains a delta function $\delta(t)$, $|\psi(t = 0^+)\rangle = |\psi(t = 0^-)\rangle$, i.e., an instantaneous change in H produces no instantaneous change in $|\psi\rangle$.

In this case, Eqs. (2)–(4) can be used to study the transient effects of the abrupt change in the Hamiltonian. Introducing the shorthand $\tilde{H}_{nm} = \langle n | \tilde{H} | m \rangle$,

$$a_{n}^{(1)}(t) = \tilde{H}_{ni} \frac{1 - e^{i\omega_{ni}t}}{\hbar\omega_{ni}} \xrightarrow{\omega_{ni}=0} -i\tilde{H}_{ni}t/\hbar,$$

$$a_{n}^{(2)}(t) = \sum_{m|\omega_{mi}\neq0} \frac{\tilde{H}_{nm}\tilde{H}_{mi}}{\hbar^{2}\omega_{mi}} \left(\frac{1 - e^{i\omega_{nm}t}}{\omega_{nm}} - \frac{1 - e^{i\omega_{ni}t}}{\omega_{ni}}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{m|\omega_{mi}=0} \frac{\tilde{H}_{nm}\tilde{H}_{mi}}{\hbar^{2}\omega_{ni}} \left(\frac{1 - e^{i\omega_{ni}t}}{\omega_{ni}} + ite^{i\omega_{ni}t}\right).$$

$$(6)$$

Care must be taken in Eq. (7) when any of the frequency denominators vanishes. For instance, if $\omega_{ni} = 0$, the second summand must be replaced by $-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{H}_{nm}\tilde{H}_{mi}(t/\hbar)^2$.

Equation (7) shows that $a_n^{(2)}(t)$ can be nonzero even if $\tilde{H}_{ni} = 0$ and $a_n^{(1)}(t) = 0$; the system can "get from $|i\rangle$ to $|n\rangle$ " (i.e., acquire a nonzero amplitude a_n) through a pair of "virtual" (energy non-conserving) transitions—the first from $|i\rangle$ to an intermediate state $|m\rangle$, the second from $|m\rangle$ to $|n\rangle$. In the limit $t \to \infty$, the transition rate from $|i\rangle$ to $|n\rangle$ can be nonzero due to the vanishing of any frequency denominator in Eq. (7). If

 $\omega_{ni} = 0$, the combination of virtual transitions conserves energy overall. If $\omega_{mi} = 0$ or $\omega_{nm} = 0$ but $\omega_{ni} \neq 0$, a virtual transition is combined with a "real" (energy-conserving) transition, such that energy is not conserved overall.

Even more complicated transitions, involving multiple intermediate states, are possible at higher orders in H_1 .

One can repeat the above for the sudden turn-on of a harmonic perturbation. Although $a_n^{(2)}(t)$ contains many more terms, virtual transitions again feature.

Adiabatic perturbation. Now suppose instead that a perturbation turns on very slowly, starting at $t = t_0 = -\infty$, according to

$$H_1(t) = \tilde{H}e^{\eta t},\tag{8}$$

where \tilde{H} is again time-independent, and the turn-on rate η is a small, positive real number. In this case,

$$a_n^{(1)}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \tilde{H}_{ni} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \ e^{i(\omega_{ni} - i\eta)t'} = -\tilde{H}_{ni} \frac{e^{i(\omega_{ni} - i\eta)t}}{\hbar(\omega_{ni} - i\eta)},\tag{9}$$

and

$$a_n^{(2)}(t) = \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_m \frac{\tilde{H}_{nm} \tilde{H}_{mi}}{\hbar(\omega_{mi} - i\eta)} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \ e^{i(\omega_{ni} - 2i\eta)t'}$$
$$= \sum_m \frac{\tilde{H}_{nm} \tilde{H}_{mi}}{\hbar^2(\omega_{ni} - 2i\eta)(\omega_{mi} - i\eta)} e^{i(\omega_{ni} - 2i\eta)t}.$$
(10)

This implies that

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\varepsilon_i t/\hbar} \sum_{n} \left(\delta_{n,i} - \frac{\tilde{H}_{ni}e^{\eta t}}{\hbar(\omega_{ni} - i\eta)} + \sum_{m} \frac{\tilde{H}_{nm}\tilde{H}_{mi}e^{2\eta t}}{\hbar^2(\omega_{ni} - 2i\eta)(\omega_{mi} - i\eta)} \right) |n\rangle + \dots \quad (11)$$

Here and below, the terms "..." are of third order or higher in H_1 .

Within time-independent perturbation theory, the effect of a perturbation $H_1 = \tilde{H} \equiv H_1(t=0)$ is to convert the stationary state $|n\rangle$ into

$$|\psi_n\rangle = |n\rangle + \sum_{m \neq n} \left(-\frac{\tilde{H}_{mn}}{\hbar\omega_{mn}} - \frac{\tilde{H}_{mn}\tilde{H}_{nn}}{\hbar^2\omega_{mn}^2} + \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{\tilde{H}_{mk}\tilde{H}_{kn}}{\hbar^2\omega_{mn}\omega_{kn}} \right) |m\rangle + \dots$$
(12)

Thus, for any $n \neq i$,

$$\langle \psi_n | \psi(0) \rangle = -\frac{\tilde{H}_{ni}}{\hbar(\omega_{ni} - i\eta)} + \sum_m \frac{\tilde{H}_{nm}\tilde{H}_{mi}}{\hbar^2(\omega_{ni} - 2i\eta)(\omega_{mi} - i\eta)} - \frac{\tilde{H}_{in}^*}{\hbar\omega_{in}}$$

$$+ \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\tilde{H}_{mn}^*\tilde{H}_{mi}}{\hbar^2\omega_{mn}(\omega_{mi} - i\eta)} - \frac{\tilde{H}_{in}^*\tilde{H}_{nn}}{\hbar^2\omega_{in}^2} + \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\tilde{H}_{im}^*\tilde{H}_{mi}^*}{\hbar^2\omega_{in}\omega_{mn}} + \dots$$
(13)

With a little bit of algebra, one can show that in the adiabatic limit, described by an infinitesimal turn-on rate $\eta \to 0^+$, the first- and second-order terms on the right-hand-side of Eq. (13) all cancel, implying that (up to possible third-order corrections)

$$|\langle \psi_i | \psi(t) \rangle|^2 = 1. \tag{14}$$

Equation (14) turns out to be an *exact* result, which leads to ...

The adiabatic theorem: Up to an overall phase, any eigenstate $|n(H_0)\rangle$ of an initial Hamiltonian H_0 evolves smoothly under an adiabatic perturbation into the corresponding eigenstate $|n(H)\rangle$ of the Hamiltonian $H(t) = H_0 + H_1(t)$.

Constant perturbation and level decay. The limit $\eta \to 0^+$ of the slow onset describes a perturbation that is constant in time. This type of perturbation might describe the effect of some background interaction which has been left out of the Hamiltonian H_0 . (An example is the effect of gravity on the hydrogen atom.)

Let us examine the effect of such a background interaction on the initial state $|i\rangle$. Specializing Eqs. (2), (9), and (10) to the case n = i (keeping η finite for now),

$$a_{i}(t) = 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \tilde{H}_{ii} \frac{e^{\eta t}}{\eta} + \frac{i}{\hbar^{2}} \sum_{m} \frac{|\tilde{H}_{mi}|^{2}}{\omega_{mi} - i\eta} \frac{e^{2\eta t}}{2\eta} + \dots$$
(15)

Hence

$$\frac{da_i(t)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\tilde{H}_{ii}e^{\eta t} + \frac{i}{\hbar^2}\sum_m \frac{|\tilde{H}_{mi}|^2}{\omega_{mi} - i\eta}e^{2\eta t} + \dots$$
(16)

and

$$\frac{1}{a_i(t)}\frac{da_i(t)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\tilde{H}_{ii}e^{\eta t} + \frac{i}{\hbar^2}\sum_m \frac{|\dot{H}_{mi}|^2}{\omega_{mi} - i\eta}e^{2\eta t} + \left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\tilde{H}_{ii}\frac{e^{\eta t}}{\eta}\right)\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\tilde{H}_{ii}e^{\eta t}\right) + \dots \quad (17)$$

or

$$\frac{d\ln a_i(t)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\tilde{H}_{ii}e^{\eta t} + \frac{i}{\hbar^2}\sum_{m\neq i}\frac{|\tilde{H}_{mi}|^2}{\omega_{mi} - i\eta}e^{2\eta t} + \dots$$
(18)

Now let us take the constant-perturbation limit $\eta \to 0^+$. Recalling that

$$\lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\omega \pm i\eta} = P\left(\frac{1}{\omega}\right) \mp i\pi\delta(\omega),\tag{19}$$

where P is the Cauchy principal part, we find

$$\frac{d\ln a_i(t)}{dt} = -i\Sigma_i/\hbar.$$
(20)

Here,

$$\Sigma_{i} = \tilde{H}_{ii} - P \sum_{m \neq i} \frac{|\tilde{H}_{mi}|^{2}}{\varepsilon_{m} - \varepsilon_{i}} - i\pi \sum_{m \neq i} |\tilde{H}_{mi}|^{2} \delta(\varepsilon_{m} - \varepsilon_{i}) \equiv \Delta E_{i} - i\hbar/2\tau_{i}$$
(21)

is the (time-independent) *self-energy*, which has a real part

$$\Delta E_i = \operatorname{Re} \Sigma_i = \tilde{H}_{ii} - P \sum_{m \neq i} \frac{|\tilde{H}_{mi}|^2}{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i},$$
(22)

and an imaginary part

$$-\frac{\hbar}{2\tau_i} = \pi \sum_{m \neq i} |\tilde{H}_{mi}|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i).$$
(23)

Equation (20) implies that

$$a_i(t) = a_i(0)e^{-i\Sigma_i t/\hbar},$$

or

$$c_i(t) = \langle i | \psi(t) \rangle = c_i(0) e^{-i(\varepsilon_i + \Delta E_i)t/\hbar} e^{-t/2\tau_i}.$$
(24)

The real part of Σ_i shifts the effective eigenenergy entering the phase evolution of $c_i(t)$ from ε_i to $\varepsilon_i + \Delta E_i$. The imaginary part of Σ_i affects the magnitude of $c_i(t)$, causing the occupation probability to decay as

$$|c_i(t)|^2 = |c_i(0)|^2 e^{-t/\tau_i}.$$
(25)

Note, from Eq. (21), that the decay rate (inverse lifetime)

$$\tau_i^{-1} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{m \neq i} |\tilde{H}_{mi}|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i)$$
(26)

is just the total Golden-rule scattering rate out of state i.

It is also of interest to replace the time-independent \tilde{H} in Eq. (8) by $\tilde{H}e^{-i\omega t} + \tilde{H}^{\dagger}e^{i\omega t}$. Within the rotating-wave approximation, the results of this section still hold with $\omega_{mi} \to \omega_{mi} \pm \omega$ and $\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i \to \varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_i \pm \hbar \omega$.

Spectral broadening due to a background perturbation. Suppose that we regard the constant perturbation H_1 from the previous section as a background perturbation, and consider the effect of another perturbation $H_2(t)$ applied only for t > 0. For simplicity, let us assume that $H_2(t)$ has no time dependence for t > 0, i.e.,

$$H_2(t) = \hat{H}\theta(t), \tag{27}$$

and consider transitions from an initial state $|i\rangle$ to a stable final state $|f\rangle$. Writing $a_i^{(0)}(t) = \exp(-i\Sigma_i t/\hbar)$ to account for the background perturbation H_1 , we can find the transition amplitude due to H_2 :

$$a_f^{(1)}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' \langle f | H_2(t') | i \rangle e^{i(\omega_{fi} - \Sigma_i/\hbar)t'} = \langle f | \hat{H} | i \rangle \frac{1 - e^{i(\omega_{fi} - \Sigma_i/\hbar)t}}{\hbar \omega_{fi} - \Sigma_i}.$$
 (28)

Comparing the corresponding transition probability,

$$|a_f^{(1)}(t)|^2 = |\langle f|\hat{H}|i\rangle|^2 \frac{1 - 2e^{-t/2\tau_i}\cos\left[(\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i - \Delta E_i)t/\hbar\right] + e^{-t/\tau_i}}{(\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i - \Delta E_i)^2 + (\hbar/2\tau_i)^2}$$
(29)

$$\xrightarrow{t \gg \tau_i} \frac{|\langle f|H|i\rangle|^2}{(\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i - \Delta E_i)^2 + (\hbar/2\tau_i)^2},\tag{30}$$

with the result obtained for $H_1 = 0$,

$$|a_f^{(1)}(t)|^2 = |\langle f|\hat{H}|i\rangle|^2 \left[\frac{\sin(\omega_{fi}t/2)}{\hbar\omega_{fi}/2}\right]^2 \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \frac{2\pi t}{\hbar} |\langle f|\hat{H}|i\rangle|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i), \tag{31}$$

reveals two consequences of the background perturbation: (1) The transition probability saturates at long times, instead of increasing linearly. (2) The distribution of accessible final-state energies broadens from a delta function $\delta(\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i)$ into a Lorentzian

$$P(\varepsilon_f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\hbar/2\tau_i}{(\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i - \Delta E_i)^2 + (\hbar/2\tau_i)^2}.$$
(32)