


The Bound-State Aharonov-Bohm Effect

There are a number of situations in which the electromagnetic vector potential affects the physical properties of a particle, even though the electric and magnetic potentials are identically zero throughout the region accessible to the particle. The best-known example is the Aharonov-Bohm effect (Shankar pp. 497–499).

However, a variant—the “bound-state Aharonov-Bohm effect” (Ballentine pp. 323–325)—is easier to analyze rigorously in the wave-function formulation of quantum mechanics:

A particle of mass M and charge q is confined to the interior of a toroidal box, so that its cylindrical coordinates (ρ, ϕ, z) satisfy $a < \rho < b$, $0 < z < l$. The magnetic field is nonzero in the region $\rho < a$, but vanishes everywhere inside the box.



We take the electromagnetic scalar potential to be zero.

The electromagnetic vector potential inside the box must satisfy two conditions: (1) $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$. (2) For any closed path C that encircles the “hole” in the box, $\oint_C \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_S \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int_S \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \Phi$, the flux through the hole. These conditions are met by $A_\rho = A_z = 0$, $A_\phi = \Phi/(2\pi\rho)$, which also obeys the Coulomb gauge condition, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$.

Inside the box, the particle’s Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} H &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\nabla^2 + \frac{i\hbar q}{2Mc}[2\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla + (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A})] + \frac{q^2}{2Mc^2}\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} \\ &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right) + \frac{i\hbar q\Phi}{2\pi Mc\rho^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} + \frac{q^2\Phi^2}{8\pi^2 Mc^2\rho^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The stationary states (which must vanish on the surface of the box) satisfy

$$H\psi_{n,m,k_z} = \varepsilon(n, m, k_z)\psi_{n,m,k_z}, \quad \text{where } \psi_{n,m,k_z}(\rho, \phi, z) = R_n(\rho)e^{im\phi}\sin k_z z.$$

Here n , m , and lk_z/π are all integers, and R_n is a solution of

$$R_n'' + R_n'/\rho + (\alpha^2 - \nu^2/\rho^2)R_n = 0, \quad (1)$$

with

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2M\varepsilon/\hbar^2 - k_z^2}, \quad \nu = m - \Phi q/hc.$$

The transformation $\rho \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}/\alpha$ converts Eq. (1) into Bessel’s equation. Thus,

$$R_n(\rho) = A_n J_\nu(\rho/\alpha_n) + B_n N_\nu(\rho/\alpha_n),$$

where α_n (and ε) is determined, along with B_n/A_n , by the conditions $R_n(a) = R_n(b) = 0$.

Key point: The values of ε that satisfy the boundary conditions depend on the enclosed flux Φ (via ν), even though $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ throughout the region in which $\psi \neq 0$. Two alternative interpretations are plausible: (1) \mathbf{B} acts non-locally—an idea that is abhorrent to many physicists. (2) The electromagnetic vector potential is physically significant despite being gauge-dependent; this significance is such that all observable effects of \mathbf{A} are gauge-invariant.