

Degenerate Rayleigh-Schrödinger Perturbation Theory

- Suppose that we know the eigensolution of the unperturbed Hamiltonian H_0 in a vector space of finite or countably infinite dimension: a set of discrete eigenvalues ε_n and their eigenkets $|n, r\rangle$, satisfying $H_0|n, r\rangle = \varepsilon_n|n, r\rangle$. The integer label r ($1 \leq r \leq g_n$) is used to distinguish among the g_n eigenstates of energy ε_n .
- We seek stationary solutions of the perturbed problem

$$H|\psi_{n,r}\rangle \equiv (H_0 + \lambda H_1)|\psi_{n,r}\rangle = E_{n,r}|\psi_{n,r}\rangle \quad (1)$$

in the form

$$|\psi_{n,r}\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j |\psi_{n,r}^{(j)}\rangle, \quad E_{n,r} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda^j E_{n,r}^{(j)}. \quad (2)$$

Since different powers of λ are linearly independent, the coefficients of each power of λ must be the same on the two sides of Eq. (1).

- At order λ^0 we find $(H_0 - E_{n,r}^{(0)})|\psi_{n,r}^{(0)}\rangle = 0$, which is satisfied by any linear combination of the unperturbed eigenkets of energy ε_n , i.e.,

$$|\psi_{n,r}^{(0)}\rangle = \sum_{t=1}^{g_n} c_{n,r,t} |n, t\rangle, \quad \text{with} \quad E_{n,r}^{(0)} = \varepsilon_n. \quad (3)$$

Orthonormality requires that $\langle \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} | \psi_{n,s}^{(0)} \rangle = \sum_{t=1}^{g_n} c_{n,r,t}^* c_{n,s,t} = \delta_{r,s}$.

- At order λ^1 we find $(H_0 - E_{n,r}^{(0)})|\psi_{n,r}^{(1)}\rangle = (E_{n,r}^{(1)} - H_1)|\psi_{n,r}^{(0)}\rangle$. Acting from the left with $\langle m, s |$, we obtain

$$(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_n) \langle m, s | \psi_{n,r}^{(1)} \rangle = \delta_{m,n} E_{n,r}^{(1)} c_{n,r,s} - \sum_{t=1}^{g_n} \langle m, s | H_1 | n, t \rangle c_{n,r,t}. \quad (4)$$

- For $m = n$, Eq. (4) reduces to

$$\sum_{t=1}^{g_n} \langle n, s | H_1 | n, t \rangle c_{n,r,t} = E_{n,r}^{(1)} c_{n,r,s}, \quad (5)$$

which is the eigenequation for H_1 projected into the subspace \mathcal{V}_n spanned by the unperturbed states of energy ε_n . (Think of $\langle n, s | H_1 | n, t \rangle$ as a $g_n \times g_n$ matrix, and $c_{n,r,t}$ as a column vector with rows labeled $t = 1, 2, \dots, g_n$.)

We simultaneously satisfy Eqs. (3) and (5) by choosing the $|\psi_{n,r}^{(0)}\rangle$'s to be the exact (i.e., nonperturbative) eigenkets of $H = H_0 + \lambda H_1$ projected into \mathcal{V}_n . Then

$$\langle \psi_{n,s}^{(0)} | H_0 + \lambda H_1 | \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} \rangle = (\varepsilon_n + \lambda E_{n,r}^{(1)}) \delta_{s,r}, \quad (6)$$

where the first-order energy correction is

$$E_{n,r}^{(1)} = \langle \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} \rangle. \quad (7)$$

Note that we *cannot* assume that $|\psi_{n,r}^{(0)}\rangle$ is an eigenket of H_1 or H in the full vector space. Equation (6) implies only that

$$H_1 |\psi_{n,r}^{(0)}\rangle = E_{n,r}^{(1)} |\psi_{n,r}^{(0)}\rangle + \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{t=1}^{g_m} |\psi_{m,t}^{(0)}\rangle \langle \psi_{m,t}^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} \rangle. \quad (8)$$

- For $m \neq n$, Eq. (4) yields

$$\langle m, s | \psi_{n,r}^{(1)} \rangle = \sum_{t=1}^{g_n} \frac{\langle m, s | H_1 | n, t \rangle}{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m} c_{n,r,t} = \frac{\langle m, s | H_1 | \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} \rangle}{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m}. \quad (9)$$

or

$$\langle \psi_{m,s}^{(0)} | \psi_{n,r}^{(1)} \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi_{m,s}^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} \rangle}{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m}, \quad m \neq n. \quad (10)$$

- If $g_n = 1$, we can drop the second label for each eigenket. Then Eqs. (7) and (10) reduce to the standard results of nondegenerate Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory.
- Conversely, it appears from Eqs. (7) and (10) that the perturbative solution of the degenerate problem to order λ^1 can be obtained from that of a nondegenerate problem by substituting $|n\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_{n,r}^{(0)}\rangle$ and $\sum_m \rightarrow \sum_m \sum_{t=1}^{g_m}$. However, this conclusion is premature because Eq. (4) does not determine $\langle n, s | \psi_{n,r}^{(1)} \rangle$, or alternatively, $\langle \psi_{n,s}^{(0)} | \psi_{n,r}^{(1)} \rangle$. We will now rectify this omission.
- Following a convention from the nondegenerate theory, we enforce $\langle \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} | \psi_{n,r} \rangle = 1$. Thus, $\langle \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} | \psi_{n,r}^{(j)} \rangle = 0$ for all $j > 0$, which includes as a special case

$$\langle \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} | \psi_{n,r}^{(1)} \rangle = 0. \quad (11)$$

- To determine $\langle \psi_{n,s}^{(0)} | \psi_{n,r}^{(1)} \rangle$ for $s \neq r$, it is necessary to proceed to order λ^2 in the expansion of Eq. (1):

$$(H_0 - E_{n,r}^{(0)}) |\psi_{n,r}^{(2)}\rangle = (E_{n,r}^{(1)} - H_1) |\psi_{n,r}^{(1)}\rangle + E_{n,r}^{(2)} |\psi_{n,r}^{(0)}\rangle. \quad (12)$$

Acting from the left with $\langle \psi_{n,s}^{(0)} |$, replacing $\langle \psi_{n,s}^{(0)} | H_1$ using the adjoint of Eq. (8) with $r \rightarrow s$, and then applying Eq. (10), we obtain

$$0 = (E_{n,r}^{(1)} - E_{n,s}^{(1)}) \langle \psi_{n,s}^{(0)} | \psi_{n,r}^{(1)} \rangle - \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{t=1}^{g_m} \frac{\langle \psi_{n,s}^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi_{m,t}^{(0)} \rangle \langle \psi_{m,t}^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} \rangle}{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m} + E_{n,r}^{(2)} \delta_{r,s}. \quad (13)$$

Setting $s = r$ yields the second-order energy correction:

$$E_{n,r}^{(2)} = \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{t=1}^{g_m} = \frac{|\langle \psi_{m,t}^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} \rangle|^2}{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m}. \quad (14)$$

For $s \neq r$, and provided that $E_{n,r}^{(1)} \neq E_{n,s}^{(1)}$, we can conclude from Eq. (13) that

$$\langle \psi_{n,s}^{(0)} | \psi_{n,r}^{(1)} \rangle = \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{t=1}^{g_m} \frac{\langle \psi_{n,s}^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi_{m,t}^{(0)} \rangle \langle \psi_{m,t}^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi_{n,r}^{(0)} \rangle}{(E_{n,r}^{(1)} - E_{n,s}^{(1)}) (\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_m)}, \quad s \neq r. \quad (15)$$

- If $E_{n,r}^{(1)} = E_{n,s}^{(1)}$ for any $s \neq r$, then one can try to diagonalize H_1 exactly in a subspace larger than \mathcal{V}_n , e.g., $\mathcal{V}_n \oplus \mathcal{V}_p$, where $\varepsilon_p \neq \varepsilon_n$. Provided that there is no residual degeneracy within this expanded subspace, a modified version of the perturbation theory above can still be used to find $|\psi_{n,r}^{(1)}\rangle$.
- **Summary:** If the unperturbed eigenvalue ε_n is degenerate, it is necessary to diagonalize H exactly within a subspace of the full problem so as to break the degeneracy and thereby identify a suitable basis for use in perturbation theory. Furthermore, one must work to second order in λ to obtain the eigenkets $|\psi_{n,r}\rangle$ correct to first order.