

### Time-Dependent Perturbation Theory: The Photoelectric Effect

- This handout mirrors the treatment of the photoelectric effect on Shankar pp. 499–506, with two principal differences: (1) The perturbing Hamiltonian is written  $H_{1E} = e\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{R}$  instead of  $H_{1A} = (e/mc)\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{P}$ . (2) The system is assumed to occupy a cubic box of sides  $L$ , whereas Shankar treats an infinite system. We comment on the significance of these differences at the end.
- The initial state is taken to belong to the innermost (or  $K$ ) shell of a hydrogen-like atom of effective nuclear charge  $Ze$ , with wave function  $\langle \mathbf{r} | i \rangle = \pi^{-1/2} (Z/a_0)^{3/2} \exp(-Z|\mathbf{r}|/a_0)$ , where  $a_0 = \hbar^2/mc^2$  is the Bohr radius. This state has energy  $\varepsilon_i = -Z^2e^2/2a_0 = -(Z\alpha)^2mc^2/2$ ,  $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c$  being the fine-structure constant. The characteristic size of the orbital is  $r_0 = a_0/Z = \hbar/(Z\alpha mc)$ .

We consider a monochromatic electromagnetic plane wave,  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{E}_0 \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t)$ . The electric dipole approximation is valid provided that  $|\mathbf{k}|r_0 \ll 1$ , or equivalently,  $\hbar\omega \ll (Z\alpha)mc^2$ . We will consider frequencies in the window  $(Z\alpha)^2mc^2 \ll \hbar\omega \ll (Z\alpha)mc^2$ , where not only can we make the dipole approximation, but the final-state energy is sufficiently high that the final state should be well-described by a plane wave of the form  $\langle \mathbf{r} | f \rangle = L^{-3/2} \exp(i\mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{r}/\hbar)$  having an energy  $\varepsilon_f = |\mathbf{p}_f|^2/2m$ . (See Shankar p. 500 and the end of this handout for discussion of this plane-wave approximation.)

- In the dipole approximation, we need to calculate the dipole matrix element

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_{fi} &= \langle f | \mathbf{R} | i \rangle = A \int d^3\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{r}/\hbar} \mathbf{r} e^{-Z|\mathbf{r}|/a_0} \\ &= A \times i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_f} \int d^3\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{r}/\hbar} e^{-Z|\mathbf{r}|/a_0} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_f} \langle f | i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A = \pi^{-1/2} (Z/La_0)^{3/2}$ .

The overlap integral is straightforward to evaluate (see Shankar p. 504):

$$\langle f | i \rangle = A \int d^3\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{r}/\hbar} e^{-Z|\mathbf{r}|/a_0} = \frac{8\pi AZ/a_0}{[(Z/a_0)^2 + (p_f/\hbar)^2]^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{r}_{fi} = i\hbar \frac{8\pi AZ/a_0}{[(Z/a_0)^2 + (p_f/\hbar)^2]^3} \left( \frac{-4\mathbf{p}_f}{\hbar^2} \right).$$

Noting that  $(Z/a_0)^2 + (p_f/\hbar)^2 = 2m(\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i)/\hbar^2$ , we find

$$\mathbf{r}_{fi} = -\frac{2i\hbar}{m(\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i)} \mathbf{p}_f \langle f | i \rangle = -i \frac{4\pi AZ\hbar^5}{a_0 m^3 (\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i)^3} \mathbf{p}_f. \quad (1)$$

- Fermi's Golden Rule gives the scattering rate from  $|i\rangle$  to  $|f\rangle$  as

$$R_{i \rightarrow f} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| \frac{e}{2} \mathbf{E}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_{fi} \right|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i - \hbar\omega).$$

In order to calculate the differential scattering cross-section  $d\sigma/d\Omega$ , defined by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\text{power absorbed by atom while emitting electrons into solid angle } d\Omega}{(\text{incident energy flux of electromagnetic field}) \times d\Omega},$$

we need to find the density of final states  $\mathbf{p}_f$ . If we apply periodic boundary conditions to the cubic box, then the allowed final states obey

$$(p_f)_j = \hbar \frac{2\pi n_j}{L} = \frac{\hbar n_j}{L} \quad \text{for } j = x, y, z.$$

Thus, the number of allowed states in a momentum-space volume element  $p_f^2 dp_f d\Omega$  is

$$\left(\frac{L}{\hbar}\right)^3 p_f^2 dp_f d\Omega = \left(\frac{L}{\hbar}\right)^3 m p_f d\varepsilon_f d\Omega,$$

and the power absorbed by the atom in scattering into solid angle  $d\Omega$  is

$$P_{i \rightarrow d\Omega} = \hbar\omega R_{i \rightarrow d\Omega} = \hbar\omega d\Omega \int d\varepsilon_f \left(\frac{L}{\hbar}\right)^3 m p_f R_{i \rightarrow f} = \frac{e^2 \hbar p_f^3 E_0^2}{\pi} \left(\frac{Z}{m\omega a_0}\right)^5 |\hat{\mathbf{E}}_0 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}_f|^2 d\Omega.$$

The incident energy flux of the electromagnetic wave is  $J_{\text{in}} = uc = (c/4\pi) |\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2$ , or, averaged over one complete cycle,  $J_{\text{in}} = (c/8\pi) |\mathbf{E}_0|^2$ . Thus,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{P_{i \rightarrow d\Omega}}{J_{\text{in}} d\Omega} = \frac{8e^2 \hbar p_f^3}{c} \left(\frac{Z}{m\omega a_0}\right)^5 |\hat{\mathbf{E}}_0 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}_f|^2. \quad (2)$$

- **Comment 1:** The finite system size does not enter the final result. If one works with an infinite system, the correct density of final states is ensured through the delta-function normalization of the plane wave, i.e.,  $\langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{p} \rangle = (2\pi\hbar)^{-3/2} \exp(i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}/\hbar)$ .
- **Comment 2:** Equation (2) agrees, for instance, with that obtained from  $H_{1E}$  in *Quantum Theory of Light* by R. Loudon (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1973). On the other hand, this  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  is 4 times greater than that given by Shankar, Merzbacher, and Sakurai, all of whom use  $H_{1A}$ .

This discrepancy appears to stem from the fact that the general result

$$\langle f | \mathbf{P} | i \rangle = \langle f | m d\mathbf{R}/dt | i \rangle = \frac{im}{\hbar} \langle f | [H, \mathbf{R}] | i \rangle = \frac{im(\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i)}{\hbar} \langle f | \mathbf{R} | i \rangle$$

holds only if  $|i\rangle$  and  $|f\rangle$  are *exact* eigenstates of the same Hamiltonian. Here,  $|f\rangle$  is only an approximate version of the true final state, which is a plane wave plus an incoming spherical wave (see Merzbacher p. 502). Since  $|f\rangle$  is not a true eigenstate of the Coulomb Hamiltonian, different formulations of the dipole approximation are not guaranteed to produce the same result. It turns out that  $\mathbf{r}_{fi}$  given in Eq. (1) is twice the correct value. It can be shown [e.g., see Ch. 12 of *Intermediate Quantum Mechanics* by H. A. Bethe and R. Jackiw (2nd Edition, W. A. Benjamin, Reading, Massachusetts, 1968)] that using  $H_{1E}$  with the exact final state wave functions yields the result obtained using  $H_{1A}$  with the plane-wave approximate wave functions.

The  $H_{1A}$  formulation does not always yield superior results. It is the  $H_{1E}$  version that gives the correct frequency distribution for photons emitted from finite-lifetime excited states [W. E. Lamb, Jr., Phys. Rev. **85**, 259 (1952).]

These examples show that calculating the effects of radiation on matter is very subtle!