

PHZ3113–Introduction to Theoretical Physics

Fall 2008

Problem Set 8 Solutions

Oct. 6, 2008

1.

$$\int \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = 0. \quad (1)$$

So we need to show that the surface integral $\int \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a}$ over the parallelepiped also vanishes. For the faces:

(a) $x_1 = 0, 0 \leq x_2 \leq 3, 0 \leq x_3 \leq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} d\vec{a} &= dx_2 dx_3 (-\hat{x}_1) & \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= -x_2 dx_2 dx_3 \\ \Rightarrow \int_{A_1} \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= - \int_0^3 x_2 dx_2 \int_0^2 dx_3 = -9 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

(b) $x_1 = 1, 0 \leq x_2 \leq 3, 0 \leq x_3 \leq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} d\vec{a} &= dx_2 dx_3 (\hat{x}_1) & \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= x_2 dx_2 dx_3 \\ \Rightarrow \int_{A_2} \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= \int_0^3 x_2 dx_2 \int_0^2 dx_3 = 9 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

(c) $x_2 = 0, 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq x_3 \leq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} d\vec{a} &= dx_1 dx_3 (-\hat{x}_2) & \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= 2 dx_1 dx_3 \\ \Rightarrow \int_{A_3} \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= 2 \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^2 dx_3 = 4 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(d) $x_2 = 3, 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq x_3 \leq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} d\vec{a} &= dx_1 dx_3 (\hat{x}_2) & \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= -2 dx_1 dx_3 \\ \Rightarrow \int_{A_4} \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= -2 \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^2 dx_3 = -4 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

(e) $x_3 = 0, 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq x_2 \leq 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} d\vec{a} &= dx_1 dx_2 (-\hat{x}_3) & \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= -x_1 dx_1 dx_2 \\ \Rightarrow \int_{A_5} \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= - \int_0^1 x_1 dx_1 \int_0^3 dx_2 = -\frac{3}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

(f) $x_3 = 3, 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq x_2 \leq 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} d\vec{a} &= dx_1 dx_2 (\hat{x}_3) & \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= x_1 dx_1 dx_2 \\ \Rightarrow \int_{A_6} \vec{v} \cdot d\vec{a} &= \int_0^1 x_1 dx_1 \int_0^3 dx_2 = \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

... so the surface integral over the faces cancel pairwise.

2. (a) Basic proof is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} &= \vec{\nabla} \times \frac{1}{2}(\vec{B} \times \vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2}\vec{B}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r}) - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{r} \\ &= \frac{3}{2}\vec{B} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{B} = \vec{B}\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

for any *constant* \vec{B} . In the 2nd step we could not have pulled \vec{B} to the left of the differential operator unless it were constant,

$$\begin{aligned}(\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{r}))_i &= \epsilon_{ijk} \nabla_j (\vec{B} \times \vec{r})_k = \epsilon_{ijk} \nabla_j \epsilon_{klm} B_\ell x_m \\ &= (\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl}) \nabla_j B_\ell x_m \\ &= (\delta_{il} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jl}) [(\nabla_j B_\ell) x_m + B_\ell (\nabla_j x_m)].\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

Only for constant \vec{B} does the first term in the square brackets vanish, giving you (8).

(b)

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} u \times \vec{\nabla} v) = \vec{\nabla} v \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} u) - \vec{\nabla} u \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} v) = 0, \quad (10)$$

where the first equality follows from the gradient version of the ‘‘BAC-CAB’’ identity (see vector identity sheet) and the second identity from $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} \phi = 0$.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} &= \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} \times (u \vec{\nabla} v - v \vec{\nabla} u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [u \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} v + (\vec{\nabla} u \times \vec{\nabla} v) - v \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} u - (\vec{\nabla} v \times \vec{\nabla} u)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [0 + (\vec{\nabla} u \times \vec{\nabla} v) - 0 - (\vec{\nabla} v \times \vec{\nabla} u)] = (\vec{\nabla} u \times \vec{\nabla} v) = \vec{B}\end{aligned}$$

(d) Transformed magnetic field $\vec{B}' = \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \psi) = \vec{B} + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} \psi = 0$. So the left hand side of Stokes’ law is invariant. The extra term on the right hand side generated by the transformation is

$$\oint \vec{\nabla} \psi \cdot d\vec{r} = 0, \quad (11)$$

since the integral is taken around a closed loop and the vector field being integrated around the loop is the gradient of a scalar (conservative).

3. Expand function $g(x)$ in the neighborhood of each point where its argument becomes zero; only at these points will the δ -function be significant:

$$\int f(x) \delta(g(x)) dx = \sum_n \int_{a_n - \epsilon}^{a_n + \epsilon} f(x) \delta[g(a_n) + (x - a_n)g'(a_n)] dx, \quad (12)$$

where ϵ is a small quantity. Note also that $g(a_n) = 0$ by definition. Since we know that $\delta(ax) = \frac{1}{|a|}\delta(x)$, we may write

$$= \sum_n \int dx f(x) \frac{1}{|g'(a_n)|} \delta(x - a_n) \quad (13)$$

as desired. Note this is *not* an approximation, since the δ function is exactly zero away from the zeros of its argument.

4. Before proceeding, note that the argument of the δ function has 2 roots, but only one of them is within the range of integration, $x = 1/2$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (3x^2 + 5)\delta(2x^2 + 3x - 2) &= \int (3x^2 + 5) \frac{\delta(x - 1/2)}{|4 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 3|} \\ &= \frac{1}{5} \int (3x^2 + 5)\delta(x - 1/2) = \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{23}{4} = \frac{23}{20} \end{aligned}$$

5. Pt. charge at \vec{R} : $\rho(\vec{r}) = q\delta^{(3)}(\vec{r} - \vec{R})$. Shell $\rho(\vec{r}) = \sigma\delta(r - a)$, where $\sigma = q/(4\pi a^2)$. Note the prefactor of the latter is fixed by the fact that

$$\int d\tau \rho(r) = q \quad (14)$$