




Min-Bias Data: Fitting the Event Shapes

Rick Field & Dave Stuart

Talk by Rick Field Presented at the QCD Meeting June 18, 1999



- Study the **CDF “Min-Bias” Data** with the goal of finding a **Monte-Carlo Generator** that will fit the data. Would like to describe (**approximately**) all the features of the **entire inelastic (“hard core”) cross section (low PT and high PT)!**
- Look at the data (**plot many observables**) and compare to **MBR** and **Herwig** and **Isajet** and **Pythia 6.115** and **Pythia 6.125** and **Pythia (no multiple scattering)** and **Pythia 4097**.
- For now consider only charged particles in the region, **$PT > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ $|\eta| < 1$** , where efficiency is good.
- In this talk I will concentrate on the **event shape** and study of how charged particles are distributed relative to the direction of the leading jet (**“Jet” = circular region**).
- Look at **Nchg-Flow** and **PTsum-Flow** relative to the leading jet direction.
- **Look at $PT(\text{jet}\#1)$ dependence (plot averages versus $PT_{\text{jet}\#1}$):**
 <”Toward” Nchg>, <”Transverse” Nchg>, <”Away” Nchg>, <”Toward” PTsum>, <”Transverse” PTsum>, <”Away” PTsum>
- **Many** more transparencies than I can show in this talk! All **24** transparencies are on the **WEB**.

http://www.phys.ufl.edu/~rfield/cdf/QCD_Talk3.html

Does not include P_{Tmax} ($N_{chg} \geq 2$)

MB Jets – Jet Algorithm

Examine “Circular Regions” in η - ϕ space with “distance” defined by

$$d = \sqrt{\Delta \mathbf{h}^2 + \Delta \mathbf{f}^2}$$

Use a Simple Jet Algorithm (“Jet” = circular region):

- Order Charged Particles ($P_T > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ $|\eta| < 1$)
- Start with highest P_T particle and include in the “Jet” all particles ($P_T > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ $|\eta| < 1$) within radius $R = 0.7$
- Go to the next highest P_T particle (not already included in a previous jet) and include in the “Jet” all particles ($P_T > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ $|\eta| < 1$) within radius $R = 0.7$ (not already included in a previous jet)
- Continue until all particles are in a jet

Example (6 particles, 5 jets):


The maximum number of jets is

$$\sim 2(2)(2\pi)/(\pi(0.7)^2) \sim 16$$

Jets have a momentum given by

$$\vec{P}_{jet} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_j} \vec{p}_i,$$

where $N_j = N_{chgJet}$ is the number of charged particles in the jet and




$$P_T(jet) = \sqrt{P_x(jet)^2 + P_y(jet)^2}$$

CDF MB Data – $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ vs $PT(jet\#1)$




Average Number of Charged Particles versus $PT(jet\#1)$ (highest PT jet):



CDF data only

Average Number of Charged Particles versus $PT(jet\#1)$ (highest PT jet):




CDF data versus Herwig, Pythia, Isajet, & MBR

CDF MB Data – $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ vs $PT(jet\#1)$




Average Number of Charged Particles versus $PT(jet\#1)$ (highest PT jet):



CDF data versus Herwig (default) and Herwig (ENSOFF=2)

Average Number of Charged Particles versus $PT(jet\#1)$ (highest PT jet):




CDF data versus Pythia 6.126, 6.115, 4097, & no multiple scattering

CDF MB Data – $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ vs $PT(jet\#1)$




Average PT_{sum} versus $PT(jet\#1)$ (highest PT jet):



CDF data only

Average PT_{sum} versus $PT(jet\#1)$ (highest PT jet):




CDF data versus Herwig, Pythia, & MBR

CDF MB Data – $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ vs $PT(jet\#1)$




Average PT_{sum} versus $PT(jet\#1)$ (highest PT jet):



CDF data versus Herwig (default) and Herwig (ENSOFF=2)


Average PT_{sum} versus $PT(jet\#1)$ (highest PT jet):




CDF data versus Pythia 6.126, 6.115, 4097, & no multiple scattering

MB Jets: N_{chg} -Flow Relative to Jet#1

Define **Jet#1** to be the highest PT jet in the event ($PT > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 1$) and look at correlations in azimuthal angle ϕ .




$\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 2 \text{ GeV}$:




CDF data versus Herwig (default), Pythia 6.115, & Pythia 6.125

$\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 2 \text{ GeV}$:




MB Jets: PT_{sum} -Flow Relative to Jet#1

Define **Jet#1** to be the highest PT jet in the event ($PT > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 1$) and look at correlations in azimuthal angle ϕ .




$\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 2 \text{ GeV}$:




CDF data versus Herwig (default), Pythia 6.115, & Pythia 6.125

$\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 2 \text{ GeV}$:




MB Jets: N_{chg} -Flow Relative to Jet#1

Define **Jet#1** to be the highest PT jet in the event ($PT > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 1$) and look at correlations in azimuthal angle ϕ .




$\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 5 \text{ GeV}$:




CDF data versus Herwig (default), Pythia 6.115, & Pythia 6.125

$\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 5 \text{ GeV}$:




MB Jets: PT_{sum} -Flow Relative to Jet#1

Define **Jet#1** to be the highest PT jet in the event ($PT > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 1$) and look at correlations in azimuthal angle ϕ .




$\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 5 \text{ GeV}$:




CDF data versus Herwig (default), Pythia 6.115, & Pythia 6.125

$\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 5 \text{ GeV}$:




MB Jets: Nchg-Flow Relative to Jet#1

Define **Jet#1** to be the highest PT jet in the event ($PT > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 1$) and look at correlations in azimuthal angle ϕ .




$\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 30 \text{ GeV}$:




CDF data versus Herwig (default), Pythia 6.115, & Pythia 6.125

$\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 30 \text{ GeV}$:




MB Jets: PT_{sum} -Flow Relative to Jet#1

Define **Jet#1** to be the highest PT jet in the event ($PT > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$, $|\eta| < 1$) and look at correlations in azimuthal angle ϕ .




$\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 30 \text{ GeV}$:



CDF data versus Herwig (default), Pythia 6.115, & Pythia 6.125


$\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ versus $|\phi - \phi_{jet\#1}|$ when $PT(jet\#1) > 30 \text{ GeV}$:




Distribution of $\langle N_{\text{chg}} \rangle$ Relative to Jet#1

Look at the ϕ dependence relative to Jet#1:

- “Toward” $|\phi - \phi_{\text{jet}}| < 60^\circ$
- “Transverse” $60^\circ < |\phi - \phi_{\text{jet}}| < 120^\circ$
- “Away” $|\phi - \phi_{\text{jet}}| > 120^\circ$




Average Charged Multiplicity versus $P_T(\text{jet}\#1)$:



CDF data: Min Bias + Jet20

Average Event “Shape” $P_T(\text{jet}\#1) = 20 \text{ GeV}$:




Distribution of $\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ Relative to Jet#1

Look at the ϕ dependence relative to Jet#1:


“Toward” $|\phi - \phi_{jet}| < 60^\circ$

“Transverse” $60^\circ < |\phi - \phi_{jet}| < 120^\circ$


“Away” $|\phi - \phi_{jet}| > 120^\circ$



$\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $P_T(\text{jet}\#1)$ (data vs Herwig default):




$\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $P_T(\text{jet}\#1)$ (data vs Pythia 6.115):




Distribution of $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ Relative to Jet#1

Look at the ϕ dependence relative to Jet#1:

- “Toward” $|\phi - \phi_{jet}| < 60^\circ$
- “Transverse” $60^\circ < |\phi - \phi_{jet}| < 120^\circ$
- “Away” $|\phi - \phi_{jet}| > 120^\circ$




Average PT_{sum} (scalar PT sum) versus $P_T(jet\#1)$:



CDF data: Min Bias + Jet20

Average Event “Shape” $P_T(jet\#1) = 20$ GeV:




Distribution of $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ Relative to Jet#1

Look at the ϕ dependence relative to Jet#1:


“Toward” $|\phi - \phi_{jet}| < 60^\circ$

“Transverse” $60^\circ < |\phi - \phi_{jet}| < 120^\circ$


“Away” $|\phi - \phi_{jet}| > 120^\circ$



$\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ versus $P_T(jet\#1)$ (data vs Herwig default):




$\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ versus $P_T(jet\#1)$ (data vs Pythia 6.115):




“Toward” $\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $P_T(\text{jet}\#1)$

Look at the ϕ dependence relative to Jet#1:


“Toward” $|\phi - \phi_{\text{jet}}| < 60^\circ$



$\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $P_T(\text{jet}\#1)$ (data vs Herwig, Pythia, & MBR):




$\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $P_T(\text{jet}\#1)$ (data vs four versions of Pythia):




“Transverse” $\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $P_T(\text{jet}\#1)$

Look at the ϕ dependence relative to Jet#1:


“Transverse” $60^\circ < |\phi - \phi_{\text{jet}}| < 120^\circ$



“Transverse” $\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ vs $P_T(\text{jet}\#1)$ (data vs Herwig, Pythia, & MBR):




“Transverse” $\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ vs $P_T(\text{jet}\#1)$ (data vs four versions of Pythia):




“Transverse” $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ versus $P_T(\text{jet}\#1)$

Look at the ϕ dependence relative to Jet#1:

“Transverse” $60^\circ < |\phi - \phi_{jet}| < 120^\circ$




“Transverse” $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ vs $P_T(\text{jet}\#1)$ (data vs two versions of Herwig):




“Transverse” $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ versus $PT(jet\#1)$

Look at the ϕ dependence relative to Jet#1:


“Transverse” $60^\circ < |\phi - \phi_{jet}| < 120^\circ$



“Transverse” $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ vs $P_T(jet\#1)$ (data vs Herwig and Pythia):




“Transverse” $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ vs $P_T(jet\#1)$ (data vs four versions of Pythia):




“Transverse” $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ versus $PT(jet\#1)$

Look at the ϕ dependence relative to Jet#1:

“Transverse” $60^\circ < |\phi - \phi_{jet}| < 120^\circ$




“Transverse” $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ vs $P_T(jet\#1)$ (data vs two versions of Herwig):




“Away” $\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ versus $P_T(jet\#1)$

Look at the ϕ dependence relative to Jet#1:


“Away” $|\phi - \phi_{jet}| > 120^\circ$



“Away” $\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ vs $P_T(jet\#1)$ (data vs Herwig, Pythia, & MBR):




“Away” $\langle N_{chg} \rangle$ vs $P_T(jet\#1)$ (data vs four versions of Pythia):




“Away” $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ versus $PT(jet\#1)$

Look at the ϕ dependence relative to Jet#1:


“Away” $|\phi - \phi_{jet}| > 120^\circ$



“Away” $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ vs $P_T(jet\#1)$ (data vs Herwig and Pythia):




“Away” $\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ vs $P_T(jet\#1)$ (data vs four versions of Pythia):




MB Jets – N-Flow & PT-Flow Relative to PTmax

Define PTmax to be the highest PT charged particle in the event ($PT > 0.5 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 1$) and look at correlations in azimuthal angle ϕ .



$\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ Produced in Association with PTmax versus $|\phi - \phi_{max}|$:



Does not include PTmax ($N_{ch} \geq 2$)

$\langle PT_{sum} \rangle$ Produced in Association with PTmax versus $|\phi - \phi_{max}|$:

