Optical constants of ZnSe in the far infrared
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The absorption coefficient $\alpha$ and refractive index $n$ of bulk polycrystalline ZnSe have been derived from transmission measurements over wave-number ranges of 30–200 cm$^{-1}$ and 250–600 cm$^{-1}$. Infrared multiphonon structures have been found and assigned. Variations of $\alpha$ and $n$ are satisfactorily described by a single-harmonic-oscillator model for the dielectric constant. Variations in $\alpha$ caused by multiphonon processes suggest that anharmonic lattice dynamics are important above 250 cm$^{-1}$, especially for three-phonon processes, which include the fundamental TO($\Gamma$) mode.

INTRODUCTION

ZnSe is a very interesting material for both ultraviolet (uv) and infrared (ir) applications. Near the uv range, its direct band gap of 2.7 eV is well suited for blue-emitting devices to be used in high-definition, full-color displays or for optical storage. In the ir range, ZnSe’s low-absorption coefficient outside its narrow reststrahlen band makes it suitable for optical windows. However, there are few reports on its optical constants.

In the ir range, Aven, Marple, and Segall$^1$ reported the variations of ZnSe’s absorption coefficient $\alpha$ over the wave-number range of $140 < W < 620$ cm$^{-1}$ from transmission and reflection experiments. Later, Miles$^2$ focusing on multiphonon absorption reported $\alpha$ variations in the $300 < W < 850$ cm$^{-1}$ range from laser calorimetry experiments. However, over the common wave-number range, the absorption values quoted by these two reports disagree by up to an order of magnitude.

The situation is still worse for the reflective index; there is only a brief report of $n$ and $k$ variations in the range $130 < W < 350$ cm$^{-1}$ by Hadini, Claudel, and Strimer$^3$ from reflection measurements of sintered ZnSe powder. Limited data for refractive index versus wave numbers have been published as internal reports over the range of 1000–10,000 cm$^{-1}$ (Ref. 4) and 600–10,000 cm$^{-1}$.

In this study, both the absorption coefficient $\alpha$ and the refractive index $n$ of bulk polycrystalline ZnSe have been measured over the $W$ ranges of 30–200 and 250–600 cm$^{-1}$.

The variations of $\alpha$ with $W$ will be compared with the previous contradictory results$^{1,2}$ and peaks due to multiphonon generation will be discussed. Variations of $n$ with $W$ will be compared with published data$^4$ and internal reports.$^{4,5}$ Finally, variations of the absorption coefficient and refractive index versus wave numbers will be compared with a single-harmonic-oscillator model of the fundamental TO($\Gamma$) lattice mode vibration to predict the dielectric constant of ZnSe.

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The measurements were taken from CVD, Inc. ZnSe polycrystalline discs, 3 and 1.25 mm thick. The average grain size was around 70 $\mu$m. The samples were ground, lapped, and polished to a 0.05-$\mu$m aluminia finish. Some samples were also wedged to decrease the effects of the interference fringes. Samples with thicknesses of 3020, 1240, 424, 350, 118, 91, 45.6, and 22.7 $\mu$m were measured. Thicknesses were measured with a micrometer above 100 $\mu$m. Below 150 $\mu$m, they were deduced from interference fringes in the 30–80 cm$^{-1}$ range, where the refractive index (calculated from the interferences fringes of the thicker samples) has very small variations with the wave numbers. The agreement was good for the sample measured by both methods, 122 and 118 $\mu$m using a micrometer and an optical measurement, respectively.

The $\alpha$ values reported here are extracted only from transmission measurements. Some reflection measurements were also made. They appear much more difficult and less reliable from sample to sample, and so were used here as side measurements. The maximum value of the reflection was found to be (89±2)$\%$, in agreement with previous reports.$^{1-3}$

The optical measurements were done at room temperature with a Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer Brucker IFS113v with a resolution of 1 cm$^{-1}$, using mainly a DTGS detector. Values of $\alpha$ were calculated from experimental data using intervals of 4 cm$^{-1}$.

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

Transmission data for the 3020-, 1240-, 424-, and 91-$\mu$m-thick samples (undetected or weak interference
fringes) are given in Fig. 1. The thicker samples show at
once that there is a low-absorption coefficient between
30 < \nu < 100 \text{ cm}^{-1} and 300 < \nu < 600 \text{ cm}^{-1}. Outside
the reststrahlen range 200 < \nu < 250 \text{ cm}^{-1}, absorption
bands appear around 60, 70, 139, 269, 291, 365–68, 413,
435, and 575 \text{ cm}^{-1}. Actually, there is a small dispersion
(0.015) in the transmission around 600 \text{ cm}^{-1} when the
sample-sample thickness is 0.15 \mu m. We tentatively at-
tribute it to side effects from the polycrystalline nature of
the samples (grain boundaries, etc.). Data from the
thickest samples were used to calculate both \alpha and \nu in
this wave-number range.

Examples of transmission data with large interference
fringes for a 350- and a 118- \mu m-thick sample over the \nu
range of 30–200 and 250–600 \text{ cm}^{-1}, respectively, are
given in Fig. 2. These data were used to derive the re-
fractive index of the material. The same absorption
peaks shown in Fig. 1 are observed for these samples.

The transmission (from a “flux” calculation) of a
“thick” sample (without interferences fringes or after
their removal) is given by

\begin{equation}
T = \frac{(1 - R_i)^2 \exp(-\alpha \nu)}{1 - R_i^2 \exp(-2\alpha \nu)}.
\end{equation}

where the reflectivity \( R_i \) of the air-ZnSe interface is given by

\begin{equation}
R_i = \frac{(n - 1)^2 + k^2}{(n + 1)^2 + k^2},
\end{equation}

where \( n \) and \( k \) are the real and imaginary part of the re-
fractive index of ZnSe. In fact, the experimental results
were used to calculate \alpha either in the highly absorbing \nu
ranges, where \exp(-2\alpha \nu) \leq 5 \times 10^{-3}, so that the denomi-
ator of Eq. (1) is nearly unity, or for the thickest samples
when \( R_i \) is close to 0.25 and 0.2 (Ref. 7) (near 30 and 600
\text{ cm}^{-1}, respectively), so that \( 1 - R_i^2 \exp(-2\alpha \nu) \) in Eq. (1)
is again approximately unity. As a result, \( T \) can be ap-
proximated by

\begin{equation}
T = (1 - R_i)^2 \exp(-\alpha \nu),
\end{equation}

for example,

\begin{equation}
\frac{T_1}{T_2} = \exp[-\alpha(d_1 - d_2)].
\end{equation}

With the sample thicknesses available, this method
cannot measure \alpha values higher than \( 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). These
occur in the range 200 < \nu < 250 \text{ cm}^{-1}. To minimize
any systematic error due to the polycrystalline nature of
the samples, the ratios were always calculated between
the nearest thicknesses (e.g., 3020/1240, 1240/420, etc.).
For the same \nu value, the \alpha values were deduced from
several ratios to give an idea of the experimental uncer-
tainty. Values of \alpha over the ranges of 30–200 and
250–600 \text{ cm}^{-1} are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively,
for different thickness ratios; the agreement is very good.
Values of \alpha in the range 1–100 \text{ cm}^{-1} are observed be-
tween 30 and 150 \text{ cm}^{-1}.

Values of \( n \) were extracted from the wave-number posi-
tions of the interference fringes. The wavelengths \lambda of
the maximum or the minimum are given by the classical
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**Fig. 1.** Transmission vs wave number of some ZnSe samples with undetectable or small interferences fringes. Thickness of sample 1, 400–600 \text{ cm}^{-1}, 3020 \mu m; sample 2, 30–600 \text{ cm}^{-1}, 1240 \mu m; sample 3, 30–600 \text{ cm}^{-1}, 424 \mu m; sample 4, 30–600 \text{ cm}^{-1}, 91 \mu m.
FIG. 2. A composite example of transmission vs wave number of samples with large interference fringes. Sample 1, 0–200 cm\(^{-1}\), 350 \(\mu\)m thick; sample 2, 250–600 cm\(^{-1}\), 118 \(\mu\)m thick.

FIG. 3. Variation of the absorption coefficient \(\alpha\) vs wave number \(W\) of ZnSe in the range 30–200 cm\(^{-1}\).
FIG. 4. Variation of the absorption coefficient $\alpha$ vs wave number $W$ of ZnSe in the range 250–600 cm$^{-1}$.

FIG. 5. Variation of the refractive index $n$ with the wave number $W$ of ZnSe in the range 30–600 cm$^{-1}$, $\diamond$ from the position of the minimum in the reflection.
from samples of different thicknesses to assess the experimental uncertainty. Values of \( n \) versus wave number are given in Fig. 5. Reasonable agreement is observed between values deduced from samples of different thicknesses. The wave number where \( n = 1 \), which corresponds to the minimum of the reflectivity, has been extracted from reflectivity data which are not shown here.

**DISCUSSION**

The current variation of \( \alpha \) with wave numbers can be compared to previous results from Aven, Marple, and Segall\(^1\) and Miles\(^2\) (see Fig. 6).

In the common \( W \) range 300–600 cm\(^{-1}\) our results are identical to those of Miles obtained from single crystals by the quite different technique of laser calorimetry.\(^2\) Compared to the results of Aven, Marple, and Segall\(^1\) in the 250–600 cm\(^{-1}\) range, our \( \alpha \) values agree only in the 400–450 cm\(^{-1}\) range and are lower by up to a factor of 10 near 300 and 600 cm\(^{-1}\). In the 150–200 cm\(^{-1}\) range, the differences are smaller, but they did not report the absorption peak we observed at 139 cm\(^{-1}\) and they have higher \( \alpha \) near 190 cm\(^{-1}\).

The positions of the absorption peaks are more easily seen from the transmission data in Fig. 1 than from the \( \alpha \) variations in Figs. 3–5. Their \( W \)'s are given in Table I and compared with those found by infrared absorption by Aven, Marple, and Segall,\(^1\) Miles,\(^2\) and Hadni, Claudel, and Strimer\(^3\) or by Raman spectroscopy by Irwin and LaCombe.\(^5\)

**TABLE I. Assignments for multiphonon peaks (cm\(^{-1}\)) compared with the results of the literature on infrared absorption (Refs. 1–3) and Raman spectroscopy (Ref. 8).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. 1</th>
<th>Ref. 2</th>
<th>Ref. 3*</th>
<th>Ref. 8</th>
<th>This work</th>
<th>Calculated ( \Delta^b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO-LA(X)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO-LA(L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(TA(X)</td>
<td>2TA(X)</td>
<td>2TA(X)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_1 - W_2' )</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W_2'' - W_1 )</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269</td>
<td>269</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO+TA(L)</td>
<td>TO+TA(L)</td>
<td></td>
<td>264</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>288</td>
<td>292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>365</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>415</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>412</td>
<td>415</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td></td>
<td>415</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>435</td>
<td>434</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>430</td>
<td>435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
<td>435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>585</td>
<td>575</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO(\Gamma)+2LA(L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TO(\Gamma)+2LA(L) 568 +7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data from sintered powder.

\(^b\)Present experiment minus calculated position.
TABLE II. The phonon wave numbers at the main symmetry points of the Brillouin zone from Ref. 8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Wave Number (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TO(Γ)</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LO(Γ)</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA(Λ)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA(Σ)</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₁</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W₂</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W‴₂</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W‴</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W‴‴</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The agreement in peak positions is good for all authors above 300 cm⁻¹. Below 300 cm⁻¹, we found peaks at 292, 269, and 158 cm⁻¹ in agreement with data from Irwin and LaCombe from Raman spectroscopy. Peaks at 60 and 70 cm⁻¹ were not previously reported, and peaks at 116, 50, and 38 cm⁻¹ reported by these authors were not observed.

The origin of structure outside the reststrahlen range (200–250 cm⁻¹) is currently assigned to multiphonon excitation either from direct excitation of higher modes in the polarizaton⁹ or by indirect excitation by the TO(Γ) mode through anharmonic lattice dynamics.¹⁰–¹³ In both cases, the peak positions must be linear combinations of the wave number of the optical and acoustical phonons, mainly at the edges or at the center of the Brillouin zone. The wave numbers corresponding to the phonons at the main symmetry points of ZnSe are already quoted in the literature, mainly from Raman spectroscopy. The most recent values of Irwin and LaCombe⁸ (which are in close agreement with those deduced independently by Hennion et al.¹⁴ from neutron diffraction) are given in Table II.

Our assignments of the lines are compared with those of previous authors in Table I. Also shown are peak positions calculated from our assignments and the phonon wave numbers of Table II, along with the difference Δ between the experimental and calculated values. There is good agreement between our experimental and calculated values for all lines, the worst agreement being +7 cm⁻¹ [TO(Γ) + 2LA(Λ) at 575 cm⁻¹], +6 cm⁻¹ (W‴₂ − W₁ at 70 cm⁻¹), and +5 cm⁻¹ [TO(L) + TA(L) at 269 cm⁻¹ and LO(X, L) + TO(X, L) at 430 cm⁻¹]. Notice that only the 575-cm⁻¹ peak involves a combination with the fundamental TO(Γ) lattice vibration mode.

The values of α and n may be compared with the simplest single-phonon oscillator model for the ZnSe dielectric constant, where the complex dielectric constant K is given by

\[ K = K_0 + \frac{W^2}{W^2 + i\gamma_p W} \]

with \( K = K_1 - iK_2 \).

In this model, the values of \( K_1, K_2, n, k, \) and \( a \) are given by

\[ K_1 = \frac{W^2}{W^2 + i\gamma_p W} \]

\[ K_2 = \frac{W^2}{W^2 + i\gamma_p W} \]

\[ n = \frac{[(K_1 + K_2)^{1/2} + K_1]^{1/2}}{2^{1/2}} \]

\[ k = \frac{[(K_1 + K_2)^{1/2} - K_1]^{1/2}}{2^{1/2}} \]

and \( \alpha = 4\pi k W \).

To evaluate this model the wave number \( W_{TO} \) of the TO phonon mode in the center (Γ) of the Brillouin zone along with its damping coefficient \( \gamma_p \) and the dielectric constant \( K_0 \) are needed. The values used in the present study are compared in Table III with those reported previously by Riccius and Hadni, Claude, and Strimer to fit their reflectivity data. The absorption coefficient of the wave numbers, which would have given slightly better direct agreement between the model and our experimental points. However, in order to agree with the reflectivity data and the maximum α value of Aven, Marple, and Segall the optimum \( \gamma_p \) to be close to the previously quoted values.

The experimental absorption curve (involving phonon components) is compared to the calculated curve (taking only into account the fundamental lattice vibration mode TO(Γ)) with an energy-independent damping coefficient; "harmonic model" in Fig. 6. Below 200 cm⁻¹, the agreement between the experimental curves and the model is good. There is no clear trend for the origin of the multiphonon excitation. Between 250 and 450 cm⁻¹, the comparison shows that with the usual value of \( \gamma_p = 4.53 \) cm⁻¹, the structures assigned to two phonons generally lie at α's above those predicted by the model, while the minimum in between are slightly below the model prediction. This suggests a significant anharmonic lattice contribution, which would introduce an additional wave-number-dependent damping for the TO(Γ) mode from the two-phonon excitations. Above 450 cm⁻¹, where the three-phonon structures are assigned to a coupling of the TO(Γ) fundamental mode with two phonons at the edge of the Brillouin zone, experimental α's are always lower than those of the model. This suggests a dominance of anharmonic lattice dynamics, which introduces a large additional damping of the TO(Γ) mode. The trend towards the dominance of anharmonicity effects when going to higher-multiphonon processes is quite general, but it is already obvious here, where three phonons, including TO(Γ), are involved.

Variation of the refractive index n with W are compared with previous values from the literature in Fig. 5. In the lower-W range (30–120 cm⁻¹), n values derived from the positions of the interference fringes of the 350- and 118-μm-thick samples are in close agreement. Be-
TABLE III. Our parameters for the calculations of $\alpha$ and $n$ from the single-harmonic-oscillator model, compared to those of the literature (Refs. 3 and 16).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$W_{\text{TO}}$</th>
<th>$K_0$</th>
<th>$K_\infty$</th>
<th>$\gamma_p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ref. 3</td>
<td>204.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. 16</td>
<td>209.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tween 150 and 195 cm$^{-1}$, $n$ values deduced from the interference fringes of the thinner samples, 45.6 and 22.7 $\mu$m thick, are also very close. Finally, values above 300 cm$^{-1}$ are deduced from the 118- and 45.6-um-thick samples and are also in good agreement.

Below and above the reststrahlen, our values of $n$ are slightly lower and higher, respectively, than those of Hadni, Claudel, and Strimer. They agree with those reported by the manufacturer (CVD, Inc.) around 600 cm$^{-1}$ and around 30 cm$^{-1}$ with the dielectric constant quoted by the literature.

Our experimental values are in good agreement with those of the model (see parameters in Table III), being slightly lower and very close below and above the reststrahlen region, respectively.

Finally, our results on bulk polycrystalline ZnSe are in good agreement with those for $\alpha$ on bulk monocristalline ZnSe and even with those for $n$ from sintered ZnSe powder. This indicates a dominance of the local structure on the properties of ZnSe.

**CONCLUSION**

The refractive index $n$ and the absorption coefficient $\alpha$ of bulk polycrystalline ZnSe have been measured over the wave-number ranges of 30–200 and 250–600 cm$^{-1}$.

The present $\alpha$ measurements between 30–150 cm$^{-1}$ are the first reported for ZnSe. Our results are identical to those of Miles from bulk monocrystalline material over the common range 300–600 cm$^{-1}$. They differ significantly from those quoted in the pioneering work of Aven, Marple, and Segall over most of the $\alpha$ ranges.

Multiphonons effects are clearly seen in the $\alpha(W)$ data. Most frequencies agree with those reported previously from ir absorption in bulk monocrystalline ZnSe. Additional structures previously reported from Raman spectroscopy were also found at 392, 269, and 139 cm$^{-1}$. New structures were reported near 60 and 70 cm$^{-1}$ and have been tentatively assigned to $W_{\text{TO}} - W_2$ and $W_2 - W_1$ phonon combinations.

The $\alpha$ values are in reasonable agreement with a harmonic-oscillator model of the dielectric constant for the fundamental lattice vibrations of the TO($\Gamma$) mode with $W_{\text{TO}} = 206$ cm$^{-1}$, a damping coefficient of $\gamma_p = 4.53$ cm$^{-1}$, $K_0 = 9.3$, and $K_\infty = 6.2$. The absorption coefficient $\alpha$ is slightly modified by multiphonon effects when $30 < \alpha < 450$ cm$^{-1}$ (two phonons), and drastically modified above 450 cm$^{-1}$ (three phonons). Above 250 cm$^{-1}$, analysis suggests a significant influence of the lattice anharmonicity beginning with the two-phonon effect, and becoming dominant for three phonons. For three phonons involving the fundamental TO($\Gamma$) mode, the additional damping is especially important.

The first $n$ measurements on solid ZnSe in the range 30–600 cm$^{-1}$ are reported here. They are in reasonable agreement with those of Hadni, Claudel, and Strimer on ZnSe sintered powder over the ranges 130–195 and 250–350 cm$^{-1}$. They are also in reasonable agreement with the prediction of a single-oscillator model for the dielectric constant of ZnSe.
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