ERRATA

In a Clean High-$T_c$ Superconductor You Do Not See the Gap
[Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 84 (1990)]

Inam, X. D. Wu, M. S. Hegde, and B. Dutta

Our paper was unclear about the fits shown in Fig. 1. Above $T_c$ we used a Drude part, a sum of three Lorentzian
oscillators, and $\epsilon_\omega$; below $T_c$ we used a zero-width ($\delta$-function) Drude part, three Lorentzian terms, and $\epsilon_\omega$. The fits were carried out at each temperature. The caption to Fig. 1 gave the Drude results at each temperature but only a rough average of the Lorentzian parameters. The actual Lorentzian $\omega_{pl}$, $\omega_{pl}$, and $\gamma_l$ (in cm$^{-1}$) which were used for the upper panel are as follows: at 300 K, for $L_1$, 300, 3500, 260; $L_2$, 730, 9900, 1700; $L_3$, 3300, 14600, 7400; at 100 K, for $L_1$, 300, 2600, 160; $L_2$, 720, 10000, 1600; $L_3$, 3300, 14600, 9000; at 20 K, for $L_1$, 260, 3000, 120; $L_2$, 870, 10000, 1400; $L_3$, 3300, 14600, 10000. For the data in the lower panel, they are, at 300 K, for $L_1$, 310, 2500, 230; $L_2$, 730, 9600, 1600; $L_3$, 3300, 14500, 8000; at 100 K, for $L_1$, 310, 2300, 150; $L_2$, 760, 9200, 1500; $L_3$, 3300, 14500, 7500; at 20 K, for $L_1$, 260, 2100, 100; $L_2$, 880, 10000, 1500; $L_3$, 3300, 14500, 10000.

The parameters interact strongly, so that any could be changed by about 10% and the others adjusted to give fits as
nearly as good as shown in the paper. What is outside this generalization is that with decreased temperature $L_1$ appears
to shift down and $L_2$ to shift up; both narrow somewhat. This can be seen in the data of Figs. 2 and 3.

Only the Drude parameters were used in further analysis in the paper, so that this erratum does not affect the major
conclusion of the paper.
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