

# Electromagnetic Theory II

## Problem Set 5

Due: 17 February 2021

17. In problem 16 of set 4 you showed that the energy per unit length of a static and axially symmetric configuration  $\mathbf{A} = \hat{\phi}A(\rho)$ ,  $\phi = f(\rho)e^{im\varphi}$  with  $m$  an integer, of electromagnetic and scalar fields was

$$T = 2\pi \int_0^\infty d\rho \left[ \epsilon_0 c^2 \frac{[(\rho A)']^2}{2\rho} + \rho f'^2 + \rho \left( \frac{m}{\rho} - QA \right)^2 f^2 + \rho U(f^2) \right] \quad (1)$$

The total energy of course would be  $\int dz T = \infty$ . To describe a superconducting state  $U$  must have a minimum for  $f \neq 0$ . For this problem assume that  $U(f^2) = \lambda(f^2 - f_0^2)^2/4$ . Static solutions of the equations of motion with axial symmetry are stationary points of  $T$ . The lowest energy solution minimizes  $T$ . Thus we can use a variational principle to approximate the lowest energy solution for each fixed  $m$ . When  $m \neq 0$  such a solution will describe a magnetic vortex.

- a) Show that in order for  $T$  to be finite, the fields must have the behavior  $f \rightarrow f_0$  and  $\rho A \rightarrow m/Q$  as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ . Evaluate the total magnetic flux  $\Phi_B = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho B(\rho)$  for such a vector potential. Notice that it is quantized, and give the fundamental unit.

**Solution** Since all four terms in  $T$  are positive, they all must go to zero as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ . The last term vanishes only if  $f \rightarrow f_0$ . Then the third term vanishes only if  $A \sim m/Q\rho$  as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ . By Stokes theorem

$$\Phi_B = \int dS \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \oint d\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{A} = 2\pi \rho A(\rho) \rightarrow 2\pi m/Q \quad (2)$$

as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ . It is quantized in units of  $2\pi/Q$  because  $m$  is an integer.

- b) At first glance it would seem that the choices  $A = m/Q\rho$  and  $f = f_0$  lead to a value of  $T = 0$ . However, this is too glib. Show that a vector potential of this form implies a magnetic field  $\mathbf{B} = \Phi_B \delta(x)\delta(y)$ . Such a field would contribute  $\epsilon_0 c^2 \Phi_B^2 \delta(0)\delta(0)/2 = \infty$  to the energy per unit length. Confirm this conclusion by studying the first term in  $T$  for a regularized potential  $A = m\rho/Q(\rho^2 + \delta^2)$ , showing how it blows up as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . Accordingly a finite energy solution must satisfy  $A \rightarrow 0$  as  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , and then the third term will only be finite if also  $f \rightarrow 0$  as  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ .

**Solution** If  $A = m/Q\rho$  exactly then  $B(\rho) = [\rho A]'/\rho = 0$  for all  $\rho \neq 0$ . Nonetheless  $\Phi_B = \int dx dy B(\rho) = 2\pi m/Q \neq 0$ . We conclude that  $B = \phi_B \delta(x)\delta(y)$ , which would

give an infinite contribution to the magnetic energy. Next we calculate the magnetic energy for a trial  $A = m\rho/Q(\rho^2 + \delta^2)$ .

$$B(\rho) = \frac{m}{Q} \frac{2\delta^2}{(\rho^2 + \delta^2)^2}, \quad \frac{\epsilon_0 c^2}{2} \int 2\pi\rho d\rho B^2 = \frac{2\pi\epsilon_0 c^2 m^2 \delta^4}{Q^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{(u + \delta^2)^4} = \frac{2\pi\epsilon_0 c^2 m^2}{3Q^2 \delta^2} \quad (3)$$

which diverges quadratically as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

18. With simple trial functions for  $A, f$  that have the necessary behaviors at  $\rho \rightarrow 0, \infty$ , as determined in the previous problem, make a variational estimate of the energy per unit length  $T$  of a magnetic vortex. Discuss its dependence on  $\lambda, Q, f_0$ . (Some possible trials are  $A = m\rho/(\rho^2 + \alpha^2)Q$  and  $f^2 = f_0^2 \rho^2/(\rho^2 + \beta^2)$ , but feel free to invent your own trials.)

**Solution** Call the four terms in the energy per unit length  $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4$  respectively. Then

$$T_1 = \frac{2\pi\epsilon_0 c^2 m^2}{3Q^2 \alpha^2}, \quad T_2 = \frac{\pi f_0^2}{2}, \quad T_3 = \frac{\pi f_0^2 m^2 \alpha^4}{(\beta^2 - \alpha^2)^2} \left[ \ln \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} + \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2} \right], \quad T_4 = \frac{1}{4} \pi \lambda f_0^4 \beta^2 \quad (4)$$

We notice that  $T_2$  is independent of  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $T_3$  only depends on the ratio  $\xi = \beta/\alpha$ . Thus if we choose  $\alpha, \xi$  as our variational parameters, we can minimize w.r.t.  $\alpha$  by minimizing  $T_1 + T_4$ , which is minimized for  $\alpha^4 = 8\epsilon_0 c^2 m^2 / 3Q^2 \xi^2 f_0^4 \lambda$ . At this value of  $\alpha$

$$T_1 + T_4 = \frac{\pi \lambda f_0^4 \xi^2}{2} \sqrt{\frac{8\epsilon_0 c^2 m^2}{3Q^2 \xi^2 f_0^4 \lambda}} = \pi f_0^2 \zeta \xi |m|, \quad \zeta = \sqrt{\frac{2\epsilon_0 c^2 \lambda}{3Q^2}} \quad (5)$$

and we find

$$T = \pi f_0^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \zeta \xi |m| + m^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\xi^2 - 1} - \frac{\ln \xi^2}{(\xi^2 - 1)^2} \right] \right) \quad (6)$$

This function can be easily analyzed graphically for different choices for  $\zeta$ . We can go further for the limiting situations with  $\zeta$  large and small. For  $\zeta \gg |m|$  ( $\lambda \gg Q^2/\epsilon_0 c^2$ ) the minimum occurs at small  $\xi$ . Explicitly  $\xi \approx 2|m|/\zeta$ . Then

$$T_{min} = \pi f_0^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2m^2 + m^2 \left[ -1 + 2 \ln \frac{\zeta}{2|m|} \right] \right) = \pi f_0^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + m^2 + 2m^2 \ln \frac{\zeta}{2|m|} \right) \quad (7)$$

For  $\zeta \ll 2|m|$  the minimum occurs for large  $\xi \approx (2|m|/\zeta)^{1/3}$ .

$$T_{min} \sim \pi f_0^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2^{2/3}} \zeta^{2/3} |m|^{4/3} \right) \quad (8)$$

19. J, Problem 12.15. The Proca equation is just the Maxwell equation for the scalar electrodynamics we discussed in class, for the case of a constant scalar field  $\phi = \phi_0$ .  $\epsilon_0 c \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = -\mu^2 A^\mu$ . The photon “mass” parameter is  $\mu \equiv \sqrt{2Q^2 |\phi_0|^2}$  in this problem. Please solve this problem using SI units throughout. This means that the quoted fields in a) and b) will contain appropriate factors of  $\epsilon_0, \mu_0, c$ , and  $4\pi$ !

**Solution**

a) The static Green function for the Proca equation,  $(-\nabla^2 + \mu^2)\mathbf{A} = \mu_0\mathbf{J}$ , is that of a point charge at  $\mathbf{r}'$ .

$$(-\nabla^2 + \mu^2)G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad G = \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{4\pi|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \quad (9)$$

Then for the bound current density  $\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{M} = -\mathbf{m} \times \nabla f$  we have the solution

$$\mathbf{A} = -\mu_0\mathbf{m} \times \int d^3r' \frac{\nabla' f(\mathbf{r}') e^{-\mu|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{4\pi|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} = -\mu_0\mathbf{m} \times \nabla \int d^3r' \frac{f(\mathbf{r}') e^{-\mu|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}}{4\pi|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \quad (10)$$

where an integration by parts was done after which the replacement  $\nabla' \rightarrow -\nabla$  was valid because the derivatives are applied to a function of  $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'$ .

b) Putting  $f = \delta(\mathbf{r}')$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B} &= \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} (\mathbf{m}\nabla^2 - (\mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla)\nabla) \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{r}|}}{|\mathbf{r}|} \\ &= -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left( \mu^2\mathbf{m} \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r} - \mathbf{m}\delta(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla \frac{\mathbf{r}e^{-\mu r}}{r^3} (1 + \mu r) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla \frac{\mathbf{r}e^{-\mu r}}{r^3} (1 + \mu r) = \mathbf{m} \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r^3} (1 + \mu r) - \frac{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{r} \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r^4} (3 + 3\mu r + \mu^2 r^2)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left( \left( 1 + \mu r + \frac{1}{3}\mu^2 r^2 \right) (\mathbf{m} - 3\hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot \mathbf{m}) \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r^3} + \frac{2}{3}\mu^2 r^2 \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r^3} \right)$$

where we dropped the  $\delta(\mathbf{r})$  because  $\mathbf{r} \neq 0$ .

c) The quoted limit translates to  $2\mu^2/3 < 4 \times 10^{-3}(1/r^2 + \mu/r + \mu^2/3)$  or  $(1 - 2 \times 10^{-3})\mu^2 r^2 < 6 \times 10^{-3}(1 + \mu r)$ . This is roughly  $\mu^{-1} > 13r$  or 13 earth radii. Translating to the photon mass, we have  $m_\gamma = \mu\hbar/c < \hbar/13cR \approx 4 \times 10^{-48}\text{g}$ .

20. J, Problem 12.18.

**Solution:** We call the energy momentum tensor  $T^{\mu\nu}$  instead of  $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ . Just as in the current case, the argument is based on the result of integrating  $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}(x) = 0$  over the spacetime region bounded by two hyperplanes: one at  $x^0 = T_1$  where  $x^\mu$  are the space-time coordinates of observer 1, and the other at  $x'^0 = T'_2$  where  $x'^\mu$  are the space-times coordinates of observer 2 moving at constant speed with respect to observer 1. We use Gauss' Law, assuming that the contributions of the boundary at spatial infinity vanish. Then

$$0 = \int d^4x \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}(x) = \int_{T'_2} d^3S n_{2\mu} T^{\mu\nu}(x) - \int_{T_1} d^3x T^{0\nu}(\mathbf{x}, T_1) \quad (11)$$

Here we have identified  $d^3x$  as  $d^3S$  for the boundary at  $x^0 = T_1$ . Similarly  $d^3S$  for the boundary at  $x'^0 = T'_2$  is accordingly  $d^3x'$  where  $x'^\mu$  are related to  $x^\mu$  by a Lorentz transformation.

In order to express the corresponding integrand in terms of  $x'$  variables we use the tensor transformation laws:  $T'^{\alpha\beta}(x') = \Lambda^\alpha_\mu \Lambda^\beta_\nu T^{\mu\nu}(x)$ . Also  $n'_{2\alpha} = \eta^0_\alpha = (\Lambda^{-1})^\mu_\alpha n_{2\mu}$ , so it follows that  $T'^{0\beta}(x') = \Lambda^\beta_\nu n_{2\mu} T^{\mu\nu}(x)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} p'^\beta(T'_2) &= \int d^3x' T'^{0\beta}(x') = \Lambda^\beta_\nu \int_{T'_2} d^3S n_{2\mu} T^{\mu\nu}(x) \\ &= \Lambda^\beta_\nu \int d^3x T^{0\nu}(x) = \Lambda^\beta_\nu p^\nu(T_1) \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\Lambda = I$  we learn that  $p^\nu$  is time independent. Then for general  $\Lambda$  the equation shows that the constants  $p^\nu$  transform as the components of a 4-vector under Lorentz transformations.