

Quantum Field Theory

Solution Set 2

Due: Friday, 25 January 2019

Reading: Lecture Notes, Chapters 1,2; S, Sections 54-55.

5. Starting with the expansion of $\phi(x), \pi(x)$ in terms of creation and annihilation operators, show that the canonical commutation rules

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \phi(\mathbf{y}, t)] &= [\pi(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi(\mathbf{y}, t)] = 0 \\ [\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi(\mathbf{y}, t)] &= i\hbar\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

follow from $[a(\mathbf{p}), a^\dagger(\mathbf{q})] = \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})$ and $[a(\mathbf{p}), a(\mathbf{q})] = 0$. since the fields are at equal times.

Solution: The commutator of the integrands of two ϕ 's involves

$$[(a_p e^{ix \cdot p} + a_p^\dagger e^{-ix \cdot p}), (a_q e^{iy \cdot q} + a_q^\dagger e^{-iy \cdot q})] = \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) e^{i(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{p}} - \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) e^{-i(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{p}} \quad (2)$$

Because the remaining integrand over \mathbf{p} is even under $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow -\mathbf{p}$ the two terms cancel. The same argument applies to two $\dot{\phi} = \pi$'s. If the first field in the commutator is a ϕ and the second is a $\dot{\phi}$, there is a relative minus between the a and a^\dagger terms of the $\dot{\phi}$, so the two terms add and give $i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ as desired. The factor of ω from the time derivative cancels the $1/\omega$ from the integrands of the fields, leaving a net factor $1/[2(2\pi)^3]$. The factors of 2π give the delta function and the 12 is cancelled by the addition of two equal terms.

6. Recall that a Lorentz transformation of a scalar field is $\phi'(x) = \phi(\Lambda^{-1}x)$. In QFT, Lorentz transformations are represented by unitary operators $U(\Lambda)$ which accordingly transform the scalar field as

$$\phi'(x) \equiv U^{-1}(\Lambda)\phi(x)U(\Lambda) = \phi(\Lambda^{-1}x) \quad (3)$$

Show that this requirement implies that a, a^\dagger transform as

$$U(\Lambda)^{-1}a(\mathbf{k})U(\Lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{k})}{\omega(\mathbf{k})}}a(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{k}), \quad U(\Lambda)^{-1}a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})U(\Lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{k})}{\omega(\mathbf{k})}}a^\dagger(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{k})$$

[The notation $\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{k}$ means the spatial components of the four vector $(\Lambda^{-1})^\mu_\nu k^\nu$, where $k^0 \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$. Note that Srednicki chooses a different normalization for a, a^\dagger : $[a_S(\mathbf{q}), a_S^\dagger(\mathbf{p})] = (2\pi)^3 2\omega(\mathbf{p})\delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p})$.] Show that with his normalization the square root prefactor in the transformation law is absent.

Solution: It follows from the Lorentz invariance of the scalar product $x \cdot k = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{k} - t\omega(\mathbf{k})$ that $(\Lambda^{-1}x) \cdot k = x \cdot (\Lambda k)$. We want to change d^3k integration variables to d^3k' , where $k^{i'} = \Lambda^i_j k^j + \Lambda^i_0 \omega(\mathbf{k}) \equiv (\Lambda \mathbf{k})^i$. To do this consider

$$\int d^4k \delta(k \cdot k + m^2) = \int d^3k \frac{1}{d(k^2 + m^2)/dk^0} \Big|_{k^0=\omega(\mathbf{k})} = \int \frac{d^3k}{2\omega(\mathbf{k})} \quad (4)$$

where we integrated the delta function over k^0 . The left side is manifestly Lorentz invariant so it follows that the right side is also Lorentz invariant. Therefore $d^3k/\omega(\mathbf{k}) = d^3k'/\omega(\mathbf{k}')$. With our normalization of the a 's, the integrand of the expression for $\phi(\Lambda^{-1}x)$ involves

$$\frac{d^3k}{\sqrt{\omega(\mathbf{k})}}a(\mathbf{k})e^{ik \cdot (\Lambda^{-1}x)} = \frac{d^3k'}{\sqrt{\omega(\mathbf{k}')}}\sqrt{\frac{\omega(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{k}')}{\omega(\mathbf{k}')}}a(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{k}')e^{ik' \cdot x}$$

Thus, comparing the a term of $U^{-1}\phi U$ to the right side with the prime removed from the dummy variable, we see

$$U(\Lambda)^{-1}a(\mathbf{k})U(\Lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{k})}{\omega(\mathbf{k})}}a(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{k})$$

Since Srednicki's $a_S = (2\pi)^{3/2}\sqrt{2\omega(\mathbf{k})}a(\mathbf{k})$, it follows that

$$U(\Lambda)^{-1}a_S(\mathbf{k})U(\Lambda) = a_S(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{k})$$

That $U(\Lambda)^{-1}a_S^\dagger(\mathbf{k})U(\Lambda) = a_S^\dagger(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{k})$ follows immediately by hermitian conjugating both sides and using $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$. Using this formula but with $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^{-1}$ shows that $U(\Lambda)|k_1 \dots k_n\rangle_S = |\Lambda k_1 \dots \Lambda k_n\rangle_S$

7. The Free Complex Scalar Field. A non-hermitian (“complex”) scalar field has the expansion

$$\phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}\sqrt{2\omega(\mathbf{p})}} (a(\mathbf{p})e^{ip \cdot x} + b^\dagger(\mathbf{p})e^{-ip \cdot x}), \quad \phi^\dagger \neq \phi \quad (5)$$

Here $p \cdot x \equiv \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega(\mathbf{p})t$; $a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$ creates a spin 0 particle; and $b^\dagger(\mathbf{p})$ creates the associated antiparticle. Their commutation relations are

$$[a(\mathbf{p}), a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}')] = [b(\mathbf{p}), b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}')] = \delta(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}),$$

with all other commutators vanishing.

(a) Show from (5) that ϕ and ϕ^\dagger satisfy the equal time commutation relations

$$[\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \phi^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)] = i\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}),$$

and that ϕ satisfies the Klein-Gordon Equation

$$\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - m^2 \right) \phi(x) = 0.$$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \dot{\phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)] &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}\sqrt{2\omega}} \int \frac{d^3p'(-i\omega')}{(2\pi)^{3/2}\sqrt{2\omega'}} \\
&\quad [a(\mathbf{p})e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}} + b^\dagger(\mathbf{p})e^{-i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}}, b(\mathbf{p}')e^{i\mathbf{y}\cdot\mathbf{p}'} - a^\dagger(\mathbf{p}')e^{-i\mathbf{y}\cdot\mathbf{p}'}] \\
&= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2\omega}\sqrt{2\omega'}} d^3p'(-i\omega')\delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') (-e^{i(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\cdot\mathbf{p}} - e^{-i(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\cdot\mathbf{p}}) \\
&= i \int \frac{d^3p}{2(2\pi)^3} (e^{i(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\cdot\mathbf{p}} + e^{-i(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\cdot\mathbf{p}}) = i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(\nabla^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - m^2)\phi = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}\sqrt{2\omega(\mathbf{p})}} (-m^2 - p^2) (a(\mathbf{p})e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + b^\dagger(\mathbf{p})e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}) = 0$$

because $p^0 = \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}$.

- (b) Alternatively we can work with hermitian fields ϕ_1, ϕ_2 defined by $\phi = (\phi_1 + i\phi_2)/\sqrt{2}$. Show that

$$[\phi_k(\mathbf{x}, t), \dot{\phi}_l(\mathbf{y}, t)] = i\delta_{kl}\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}).$$

Thus $\dot{\phi}_k \equiv \pi_k$ is the conjugate momentum to ϕ_k , and the Hamiltonian is just the sum of two commuting terms, one for each of the hermitian fields ϕ_1, ϕ_2 :

$$H = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (\pi_k^2 + (\nabla\phi_k)^2 + m^2\phi_k^2).$$

Solution: Solve $\phi_1 = (\phi + \phi^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\phi_2 = -i(\phi - \phi^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}$. Then

$$[\phi_1, \dot{\phi}_2] = i[\phi, \dot{\phi}^\dagger]/2 - i[\phi^\dagger, \dot{\phi}]/2 = -\delta/2 + \delta/2 = 0.$$

Similarly, $[\phi_2, \dot{\phi}_1] = 0$.

$$[\phi_1, \dot{\phi}_1] = [\phi, \dot{\phi}^\dagger]/2 + [\phi^\dagger, \dot{\phi}]/2 = i\delta/2 + i\delta/2 = i\delta$$

and similarly for $[\phi_2, \dot{\phi}_2]$.

- (c) Now returning to the original non-hermitian field ϕ , and defining $\pi = (\dot{\phi}_1^\dagger - i\dot{\phi}_2^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}$, show that

$$H = \int d^3x (\pi\pi^\dagger + \nabla\phi^\dagger\nabla\phi + m^2\phi^\dagger\phi),$$

and the equal time commutation relations become

$$[\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi(\mathbf{y}, t)] = i\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \tag{7}$$

Solution: First note:

$$\pi\pi^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\phi}_1^2 + \dot{\phi}_2^2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \pi_k^2 \quad (8)$$

$$\phi^\dagger\phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \phi_k^2 \quad (9)$$

$$\nabla\phi^\dagger \cdot \nabla\phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \nabla\phi_k \cdot \nabla\phi_k \quad (10)$$

Then comparing with the Cartesian form for H we see

$$H = \int d^3x (\pi\pi^\dagger + \nabla\phi^\dagger \nabla\phi + m^2\phi^\dagger\phi)$$

and the equal time commutation relations become

$$[\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi(\mathbf{y}, t)] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k ([\phi_k(\mathbf{x}, t), \dot{\phi}_k(\mathbf{y})]) = i\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (11)$$

8. Coupling Classical Electromagnetism to a Quantum Scalar Field

- (a) The minimal substitution rule for coupling an external electromagnetic field in a gauge invariant way is the substitution $\partial \rightarrow \partial - iq\mathbf{A}$ in the Klein-Gordon equation. [Note that the appearance of i in this rule is why electromagnetism must couple to a complex (*i.e.* non-hermitian field).] Show that the resulting equation follows from the Heisenberg equations derived, using (7) and the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^3x (\pi\pi^\dagger + (\nabla + iq\mathbf{A})\phi^\dagger(\nabla - iq\mathbf{A})\phi + m^2\phi^\dagger\phi + iqA_0(\pi\phi - \phi^\dagger\pi^\dagger)),$$

Solution: Writing out the Heisenberg equations $i\dot{A} = [A, H]$ for ϕ, π^\dagger :

$$\begin{aligned} i\dot{\phi} = [\phi, H] &= i(\pi^\dagger + iqA_0)\phi \\ &\rightarrow \pi^\dagger = \dot{\phi} - iqA_0\phi \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} i\dot{\pi}^\dagger = [\pi^\dagger, H] &= i(\nabla - iq\mathbf{A})^2\phi - im^2\phi + i^2qA_0\pi^\dagger \\ &\rightarrow \dot{\pi}^\dagger - iqA_0\pi^\dagger = (\nabla - iq\mathbf{A})^2\phi - m^2\phi \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_0 - iqA_0)^2\phi &= (\nabla - iq\mathbf{A})^2\phi - m^2\phi \\ &\rightarrow (\partial - iqA)^2\phi - m^2\phi = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

as desired.

- (b) We shall soon learn that gauge invariant time evolution implies that a conserved current can be defined in terms of the change in the Schrödinger picture Hamiltonian, under

a small change in the potentials with canonical variables fixed (i.e. ϕ , its *spatial* derivatives and π are held fixed):

$$U^\dagger(t)\delta H_S U(t) = - \int d^3x j_\mu(\mathbf{x}, t)\delta A^\mu(\mathbf{x}, t).$$

Here $U(t)$ converts Schrödinger to Heisenberg picture. From the Hamiltonian in part (a) use this principle and the Heisenberg equations to obtain the expression for the current

$$j_\mu(x) = -iq(\phi^\dagger\partial_\mu\phi - (\partial_\mu\phi^\dagger)\phi) - 2q^2A_\mu\phi^\dagger\phi.$$

Confirm that $\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0$ as a consequence of the Klein-Gordon equation coupled to A_μ .

Solution:

$$\delta H = \int d^3x iq\delta A^i[\phi^\dagger\nabla_i\phi - (\nabla_i\phi^\dagger)\phi - 2iqA_i\phi^\dagger\phi] - \int d^3x iq\delta A^0(\pi\phi - \pi^\dagger\phi^\dagger) \quad (15)$$

This implies

$$j_i = -iq(\phi^\dagger\partial_i\phi - (\partial_i\phi^\dagger)\phi) - 2q^2A_i\phi^\dagger\phi \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} j_0 &= iq\delta(\pi\phi - \pi^\dagger\phi^\dagger) \\ &= iq(\dot{\phi}^\dagger + iqA_0\phi^\dagger)\phi - iq(\dot{\phi} - iqA_0\phi)\phi^\dagger = -iq(\phi^\dagger\dot{\phi} - \dot{\phi}^\dagger\phi) - 2q^2A_0\phi^\dagger\phi \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which are just the space and time components of

$$j_\mu = -iq(\phi^\dagger\partial_\mu\phi - (\partial_\mu\phi^\dagger)\phi) - 2q^2A_\mu\phi^\dagger\phi.$$

to confirm that $\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0$ we simply calculate

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = -iq(\phi^\dagger\partial^2\phi - (\partial^2\phi^\dagger)\phi) - 2q^2\partial_\mu(A^\mu\phi^\dagger\phi).$$

From KG eq,

$$\partial^2\phi = 2iqA^\mu\partial_\mu\phi + iq\phi\partial \cdot A + (m^2 + q^2A^2)\phi.$$

Then

$$(\phi^\dagger\partial^2\phi - (\partial^2\phi^\dagger)\phi) = 2iqA^\mu\partial_\mu(\phi^\dagger\phi) + 2iq\phi^\dagger\phi\partial \cdot A = 2iq\partial_\mu(A^\mu\phi^\dagger\phi).$$

Putting this in the expression for $\partial_\mu j^\mu$ shows that the latter is zero..

- (c) Work out the charge $Q = \int d^3x j^0$ in terms of creation and annihilation operators for the case of zero external field ($A_\mu = 0$).

Solution:

$$Q = \int d^3x j^0 = iq \int d^3x \frac{d^3p d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{4\omega\omega'}} \left[(a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})e^{-ix\cdot p} + b(\mathbf{p})e^{ix\cdot p})(-i\omega')(a(\mathbf{p}')e^{ix\cdot p'} - b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}')e^{-ix\cdot p'}) \right. \\ \left. - (i\omega)(a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})e^{-ix\cdot p} - b(\mathbf{p})e^{ix\cdot p})(a(\mathbf{p}')e^{ix\cdot p'} + b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}')e^{-ix\cdot p'}) \right] \quad (18)$$

$$= iq \int \frac{d^3p}{2\omega} \left[(a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})a(\mathbf{p}) - b(\mathbf{p})b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) - a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})b^\dagger(-\mathbf{p})e^{2i\omega t} + b(\mathbf{p})a^\dagger(-\mathbf{p})e^{-2i\omega t})(-i\omega) \right. \\ \left. - (i\omega)(a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})a(\mathbf{p}) - b(\mathbf{p})b^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) + a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})b^\dagger(-\mathbf{p})e^{2i\omega t} - b(\mathbf{p})a^\dagger(-\mathbf{p})e^{-2i\omega t}) \right] \quad (19)$$

$$= q \int d^3p [a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})a(\mathbf{p}) - b(\mathbf{p})b^\dagger(\mathbf{p})] = q \int d^3p [a^\dagger(\mathbf{p})a(\mathbf{p}) - b^\dagger(\mathbf{p})b(\mathbf{p})] - \text{an infinite constant}$$

showing that a^\dagger and b^\dagger create particles of charge q and $-q$ respectively.