

Quantum Field Theory

Problem Set 11

Due: 5 April 2019

Reading: Lecture Notes, Chapters 8 and 10. (Chapter 9 is optional reading on scattering in external fields).

40. S, Problem 11.4

Solution: The tree level process occurs at second order involving two ABC vertices. The internal propagator contracts away one of the fields leaving a pair each of the other fields to contract with the external particles. Thus the nonvanishing processes have $2A$'s AND $2B$'s; $2A$'s and $2C$'s; or $2B$'s and $2C$'s. Of the listed processes the only ones with nonvanishing trees are $AA \rightarrow BB$ and $AB \rightarrow AB$, and the internal propagator for both is $-i/(m_C^2 + p^2)$. For $AA \rightarrow BB$, $p^2 = -t$ or $-u$, whereas for $AB \rightarrow AB$ $p^2 = -s$ or $-t$. Thus

$$\mathcal{M}_{AA \rightarrow BB} = -i(ig)^2 \left[\frac{1}{m_C^2 - t} + \frac{1}{m_C^2 - u} \right], \quad \mathcal{M}_{AB \rightarrow AB} = -i(ig)^2 \left[\frac{1}{m_C^2 - s} + \frac{1}{m_C^2 - t} \right]$$

All the other listed processes are 0 at tree level. Note that our \mathcal{M} is i times Srednicki's \mathcal{T} .

41. We introduced the nonabelian gauge potential A_μ as a matrix valued generalization of the vector potential of QED, and determined its gauge transformation so that $(\partial_\mu - igA_\mu)\psi = D_\mu\psi$ transforms as $D_\mu\psi \rightarrow \Omega(x)D_\mu\psi$ under $\psi \rightarrow \Omega\psi$. In QED we construct a gauge invariant field strength $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ which enters the EM part of the Lagrangian as $-F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}/4$.

- a) To construct a nonabelian field strength analogous to that in QED, we cannot insist that it be gauge invariant but we can require that $F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \Omega F_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{-1}$, so that $\text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ is gauge invariant. Show that if we regard D_μ as a matrix valued differential operator, then under a gauge transformation

$$A_\mu \rightarrow \Omega A_\mu \Omega^{-1} - \frac{i}{g} (\partial_\mu \Omega) \Omega^{-1} \quad (1)$$

$$D_\mu \rightarrow \Omega D_\mu \Omega^{-1}.$$

Solution: We examine

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega D_\mu \Omega^{-1} &= \Omega \partial_\mu \Omega^{-1} - ig \Omega A_\mu \Omega^{-1} = \partial_\mu + \Omega (\partial_\mu \Omega^{-1}) - ig \Omega A_\mu \Omega^{-1} \\ &= \partial_\mu - \Omega (\Omega^{-1} \partial_\mu \Omega \Omega^{-1}) - ig \Omega A_\mu \Omega^{-1} = \partial_\mu - \partial_\mu \Omega \Omega^{-1} - ig \Omega A_\mu \Omega^{-1} \\ &= \partial - ig \left(\frac{-i}{g} \partial_\mu \Omega \Omega^{-1} + \Omega A_\mu \Omega^{-1} \right) = \partial_\mu - ig A_\mu^\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

which is the covariant derivative with the gauge transformed potential, as desired.

- b) From part a) it follows that $D_\mu D_\nu \rightarrow \Omega D_\mu D_\nu \Omega^{-1}$ so that it transforms as we wish $F_{\mu\nu}$ to transform. However, it is not yet a satisfactory field because it is a differential operator. Show that $[D_\mu, D_\nu] = D_\mu D_\nu - D_\nu D_\mu$ is a matrix valued field and evaluate it as a function of A and ∂A .

Solution: We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [D_\mu, D_\nu] &= [\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu] - ig[\partial_\mu, A_\nu] - ig[A_\mu, \partial_\nu] - g^2[A_\mu, A_\nu] \\ &= -ig\partial_\mu A_\nu + ig\partial_\nu A_\mu - g^2[A_\mu, A_\nu] = -ig(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[A_\mu, A_\nu]) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The differential operators have cancelled in the final expression, which we can call $-igF_{\mu\nu}$ with F the desired field strength.

- c) Assume the gauge group is a Lie group generated by matrices t_a satisfying the Lie algebra

$$[t_a, t_b] = if_{abc}t_c \quad (4)$$

where f_{abc} are called the structure constants of the group. Then we can expand the gauge potential $A_\mu(x) = \sum_a t_a A_\mu^a$. Defining $F_{\mu\nu}^a$ by $[D_\mu, D_\nu] = -ig \sum_a t_a F_{\mu\nu}^a$, express $F_{\mu\nu}^a$ in terms of A_μ^a , its derivatives, and the structure constants.

Solution: We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[A_\mu, A_\nu] &= \sum_a (t_a (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a) - ig \sum_{a,b} [t_a, t_b] A_\mu^a A_\nu^b) \\ &= \sum_c t_c (\partial_\mu A_\nu^c - \partial_\nu A_\mu^c + gf_{abc} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The right side is just $\sum_c t_c F_{\mu\nu}^c$.

42. Show without using perturbation theory that the function

$$\Delta_A(x, y) \equiv \frac{\langle out | T[\phi(x)\phi^\dagger(y)] | in \rangle}{\langle out | in \rangle}$$

for a charged scalar field ϕ in an external electromagnetic field, is a Green's function for the differential operator $m^2 - (\partial - iqA)^2$:

$$[m^2 - (\partial - iqA)^2]\Delta_A(x, y) = -i\delta(x - y).$$

You will need to use the canonical commutation rules for the charged scalar field and the fact that ϕ satisfies the equation $[m^2 - (\partial - iqA)^2]\phi = 0$.

Solution: Because $[m^2 - (\partial - iqA)^2]\phi = 0$, the only non-zero contributions to $[m^2 - (\partial - iqA)^2]\Delta_A(x, y)$ come from time derivatives acting on the θ functions implicit in the time

ordering symbol. the first time derivative gives

$$\partial_0 \Delta_A(x, y) = \delta(x^0 - y^0) \frac{\langle out | [\dot{\phi}(x), \phi^\dagger(y)] | in \rangle}{\langle out | in \rangle} + \frac{\langle out | T[\dot{\phi}(x)\phi^\dagger(y)] | in \rangle}{\langle out | in \rangle} \quad (6)$$

$$= \frac{\langle out | T[\dot{\phi}(x)\phi^\dagger(y)] | in \rangle}{\langle out | in \rangle} \quad (7)$$

because ϕ and ϕ^\dagger commute at equal times. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0^2 \Delta_A(x, y) &= \delta(x^0 - y^0) \frac{\langle out | [\ddot{\phi}(x), \phi^\dagger(y)] | in \rangle}{\langle out | in \rangle} + \frac{\langle out | T[\ddot{\phi}(x)\phi^\dagger(y)] | in \rangle}{\langle out | in \rangle} \\ &= -i\delta(x - y) + \frac{\langle out | T[\ddot{\phi}(x)\phi^\dagger(y)] | in \rangle}{\langle out | in \rangle} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

by the canonical commutation relations $[\phi^\dagger, \pi^\dagger] = [\dot{\phi} - iqA_0\phi, \phi^\dagger] = [\dot{\phi}, \phi^\dagger] = -i\delta$. It follows that

$$[m^2 - (\partial - iqA)^2] \Delta_A(x, y) = -i\delta(x - y).$$

43. Positron scattering

a) Write down an explicit formula for

$$\frac{\langle out | d_{\lambda'}^{out}(\mathbf{q}') d_{\lambda}^{in\dagger}(\mathbf{q}) | in \rangle}{\langle out | in \rangle}$$

as an expansion in powers of $e\tilde{A}_\mu(p)$, where the states are positron states.

Solution: Passing to interaction picture

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\langle out | d_{\lambda'}^{out}(\mathbf{q}') d_{\lambda}^{in\dagger}(\mathbf{q}) | in \rangle}{\langle out | in \rangle} = \frac{\langle 0 | d_{\lambda'}(\vec{q}') T e^{ie \int d^4x A_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi} d_{\lambda}^\dagger(\vec{q}) | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | T e^{ie \int d^4x A_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi} | 0 \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ie)^n}{n!} \langle 0 | d_{\lambda'}(\vec{q}') \int d^4x_1 \cdots d^4x_n \bar{\psi}(x_1) A(x_1) \cdot \gamma \psi(x_1) \cdots \bar{\psi}(x_n) A(x_n) \cdot \gamma \psi(x_n) d_{\lambda}^\dagger(\vec{q}) | 0 \rangle_{connected} \\ &= - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ie)^n \int d^4x_1 \cdots d^4x_n \bar{v}_\lambda(\vec{q}) e^{iq \cdot x_1} A(x_1) \cdot \gamma S_F(x_1 - x_2) A(x_2) \cdot \gamma \cdots S_F(x_{n-1} - x_n) A(x_n) \cdot \gamma v_{\lambda'}(\vec{q}') e^{-iq' \cdot x_n} \end{aligned}$$

where the disconnected vacuum diagrams have cancelled and there is a net overall $-$ from Fermi statistics. There are $n!$ equivalent contraction sets. We displayed one and cancelled the $1/n!$.

b) The terms in the expansion of part a) are spinor matrix elements involving v, \bar{v} rather than u, \bar{u} as electron scattering would. By using the relation of v to u , $v_\lambda(p) = i\gamma^2 \gamma^0 \bar{u}_\lambda(p)^T$ and $\bar{v}_\lambda(p) = u_\lambda^T(p) i\gamma^2 \gamma^0$ and $(i\gamma^2 \gamma^0) \gamma^\mu = -\gamma^{\mu T} (i\gamma^2 \gamma^0)$, show explicitly

that the positron amplitude in a field A_μ is the same as the electron amplitude in the field $-A_\mu$. [Of course this follows immediately from the charge conjugation properties of the theory, but I want you to follow how it happens in the Feynman diagram amplitudes. Take care with the overall sign.] What is the relation between the differential cross sections for positron and electron scattering in the same field A_μ in Born approximation?

Solution': Now use $v' = i\gamma^2\gamma^0\bar{u}'^T$, $\bar{v} = u^T i\gamma^2\gamma^0$ and transpose the whole summand to get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ie)^n \int d^4x_1 \cdots d^4x_n \bar{u}_{\lambda'}(\vec{q}') e^{iq \cdot x_1} i\gamma^0\gamma^2 A(x_n) \cdot \gamma^T S_F^T(x_{n-1}-x_n) \cdots S_F^T(x_1-x_2) A(x_1) \cdot \gamma^T (-i\gamma^0\gamma^2) u_\lambda(\vec{q}) e^{-iq' \cdot x_n}$$

where the overall $-$ is now in front of the second $i\gamma^0\gamma^2$. Now use $i\gamma^0\gamma^2\gamma^T(-i\gamma^0\gamma^2) = -\gamma$ to show

$$i\gamma^0\gamma^2 S_F^T(x)(-i\gamma^0\gamma^2) = -i \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ix \cdot p} \frac{m + \gamma \cdot p}{m^2 + p^2 - i\epsilon} = S_F(-x)$$

so the expansion becomes

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (ie)^n \int d^4x_1 \cdots d^4x_n \bar{u}_{\lambda'}(\vec{q}') e^{-iq' \cdot x_n} (-A(x_n) \cdot \gamma) S_F(x_n - x_{n-1}) (-A(x_{n-1}) \cdot \gamma) \cdots S_F(x_2 - x_1) (-A(x_1) \cdot \gamma) u_\lambda(\vec{q}) e^{iq \cdot x_1}$$

which is the expansion for electron scattering in the potential $-A_\mu$. In Born approximation the amplitude is linear in A so the difference in sign for electron and positron scattering is irrelevant for the differential cross section, so they have identical scattering.